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Abstract

Until the imposition of colonial rule on Africa, movements of people from one place to another were not restricted by national or regional borders, visa systems, or national security fears. The modern idea of immigration is related to the development of nation-states and nationality laws, which often distinguish between citizens and immigrants. Citizenship of a nation-state confers on nationals an inalienable right of residence, employment and free movement in that state, but the residence, employment and movement of immigrants are subject to conditions set by immigration regulations. Since it was the colonial authorities that introduced immigration regulations into Ghana, any attempt to gain a good understanding of the history of immigration control in the country must start from the colonial times. Therefore, using both primary and secondary documents, this study examines the measures which the colonial authorities devised to control the entry of immigrants into Ghana as well as their stay in and exit from the country, and assesses the relative effectiveness of their implementation. It argues that the colonial authorities had been generally liberal towards immigrants in Ghana. The study concludes that the manner in which immigration control had been handled in the colonial era was partly accountable for the frequent influx of many ‘illegal’ migrants into Ghana after independence.
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Introduction

Available historical records indicate that as a result of both pull and push factors, Ghana’s average annual immigration figure reached 3500 by

56 Theories of migration that traditionally distinguish between push and pull factors assert that motives to migrate can be either incentives, attracting people to other lands, known as ‘pull’ factors, or circumstances encouraging people to leave their homelands, known as ‘push’ factors. ‘Both the ‘push’ and ‘pull’ factors are economically, politically, culturally and environmentally based. For a detailed explanation of the two concepts, see Adjei Adjepong, “Immigration into Ghana, 1880s–1960s: An Examination of the Underlying Factors”, in Eric Sakyi Nketiah, ed., Distance Forum: A Multidisciplinary Book of Academic Articles, Vol. II (Winneba: Department of Social Science Education, University of Education, Winneba, 2012), pp. 36–37.
1900 and after 1945, the influx of foreign elements picked momentum, thereby establishing a considerable immigrant population in the country.\textsuperscript{57} When one considers that the presence of immigrants helped to increase unemployment, social vices and political insecurity for the country, it is tempting to contend that immigration regulations had altogether not been devised and effectively enforced. Certainly, the movement of migrants into Ghana was not a great concern to the colonial authorities during the colonial era. It is this relaxed immigration policy that led to an increase in both the number of migrants entering Ghana regularly as well as the stabilisation of a substantial immigrant population in the country.

The increased inflow of migrants must not, however, be construed that immigration into Ghana and activities of non-Ghanaians within the country had been left totally uncontrolled. Indeed, the colonial administration made determined efforts to regulate immigration and deal with issues relating to immigrants in Ghana. Some of these measures controlled the entry of migrants into Ghana and regulated their stay and movements within the country. There were measures which defined the political status of both nationals\textsuperscript{58} and immigrants, regulated the economic activities of the latter within the country and specified the basis for the deportation of ‘undesirable’ immigrants.\textsuperscript{59} The adoption of these measures indicates that the colonial authorities were not indifferent to immigration issues, but migrants continued to flock to Ghana. It is one thing devising immigration control policies and quite another implementing them to achieve the desired results. It appears then that immigration was not effectively regulated. This paper examines the immigration laws and other measures dealing with immigrants which were passed by the colonial government of Ghana and evaluates the effectiveness of their enforcement. The aim of the paper is to show that the colonial administration introduced numerous immigration policies, but these measures were generally not strictly enforced.

**The Choice of the 1900–1957 Period**

For purposes of clarity, the study specifically considers attempts at immigration control from the early 1900s to the end of the colonial administration in early 1957. In 1901 and 1902, Asante and the Northern


\textsuperscript{58} This study uses the terms national(s) and citizen(s) interchangeably.

\textsuperscript{59} This does not imply that the economic activities of Ghanaians themselves were left uncontrolled. There were laws which affected the economic, social and political activities of indigenous Ghanaians. See discussions under “Regulations on Employment and Economic Activities”.
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Territories (the area now covered by the Northern, Upper East and Upper West Regions) were annexed to the Gold Coast Colony. The first decade of the twentieth century also witnessed the consummation of the process of European partition and conquest of Africa. This development was accompanied by the imposition of artificial boundaries on Africa to separate the various indigenous peoples and states and put them under the sovereignty of different European powers. This phenomenon put internal movements across the continent into an ‘international context’, and this came to involve the introduction of various regulations which impinged on the political, social and economic lives of immigrants who entered, lived and left Ghana. Accordingly, the 1900-1957 period is significant in the history of immigration control in Ghana.

Theoretical Orientation

Tomas Hammar defines immigration regulation in two senses. In one, he says it is “the control a sovereign state exercises over the entry of foreign citizens and their access to residence and employment”. In the other, Hammar conceives of immigration regulation as the set of rules and procedures governing the selection and admission of foreign citizens into a country. In either sense, immigration regulation includes such rules which control foreign citizens (aliens) once they visit or take residence in another (the receiving) country, including control of their employment. Repatriation or deportation, recruitment of foreign labour by private employers and the state, and the opening of official information and recruitment departments all fall under these regulations. Immigration policies are often formulated for purposes of effective regulation. These policies can range from allowing no migration at all to allowing most types of migration, which may include free immigration. In general, immigration regulations require that non-citizens remain under some form of control until they become naturalised citizens. Since laws concerning immigrants usually entrust administrative bodies with great discretionary powers, it is considered unnecessary in most countries to make amendments to these laws each time a stricter or a more liberal immigration regulation is introduced. What is often needed is only a change in the application of existing provisions of the laws. In some

62 In this study, the terms repatriation, deportation and expulsion are used interchangeably.
countries, however, new legislations are often introduced in order to limit the size of immigration.

Knowledge and experiences gathered from the movements of migrants has taught many important lessons. One lesson is that immigration has often been influenced by historical precedents and by culture or traditional patterns of behaviour.⁶⁴ At the same time, immigration regulations are, first of all, influenced by economic considerations, such as the current labour market situation at a particular time, and the profitability in the short and long term of immigrant labour.⁶⁵ During periods of acute unemployment or general economic difficulties, immigration control is often strictly applied to protect the national labour market. On the other hand, during periods of general economic growth or prosperity or labour shortages, a policy of economic liberalism which liberalises immigration control and open the way for a great increase in labour migration is pursued. Immigration, it must be understood, is a social and political phenomenon.⁶⁶ It should be noted, also, that economic considerations sometimes conflict with nationalistic interests. Too sizeable an immigrant population is considered “over-foreignisation” in some countries, while locals in some countries normally react when immigration results in a heavy concentration of non-indigenous peoples in some residential areas.⁶⁷ Certainly, an increase in the size of immigrant families places burdens on social services and leads to demands from social workers for greater resources and pleas from local authorities for national assistance. In some countries, immigrant issues bring public protests or engender the fear of such protests. Sometimes, there are threats of disorders or actual riots. It needs to be pointed out that the ideologies and policies of a particular government in power can influence the nature of immigration control measures that are designed and the extent of effectiveness of their enforcement. Besides these aside, a country’s geography, diplomatic policy, experience of policy-makers, their particular national needs, and other significant factors affect immigration into a country, in both quantitative and qualitative terms.⁶⁸

In view of all this, a chronological-thematic or topical-chronological approach has been adopted. This means that the material has been composed and arranged both chronologically and topically, or thematically, to maintain a largely chronological structure, while categorising and discussing important themes. The main aim was to produce a work that takes account

⁶⁵ Ibid., p. 250.
of the chronology of events and interprets facts based on themes in order to give the material coherence and meaning. Note, however, that the themes have not been organised into economic, social and political. They have rather been categorised into 'Regulations on Entry and Internal Movement', 'Definition of Citizenship', 'Regulations on Employment and Economic Activities', and 'Instances for Deportation'. This approach has been adopted with the view to establishing a 'chain-relationship' among the variables which would help depict, what may be called, 'from-entry-to-departure' perspective.

Regulations on Entry and Internal Movement

In general, all sovereign states reserve the right to determine whether foreign nationals will be permitted to enter their territory and reside there or not. In essence, the colonial authorities had the power to determine the entry and residence of foreign citizens in colonial Ghana. In relation to regulations on entry, the British colonial administration introduced measures such as the Immigrant Paupers Ordinance of 1909, 1912 and 1919; the European and Asiatic Passengers Restriction Ordinance of 1912; the Regulation of Immigrants Ordinance of 1914; the Immigration of Labourers Restriction Ordinance of 1916 and 1917; and the Former Enemy Aliens (Restriction on Immigration) Ordinance of 1919 to curtail the influx of immigrants into Ghana. Other legislations enacted by the colonial authorities for the same purpose included the Immigration Restriction Ordinance of 1925, 1926 and 1927; the Immigration Restriction (Amendment) Ordinance of 1937; the Immigrant British Subjects (Deportation) Ordinance of 1945; and the Immigration Ordinance of 1947. In fact, entry into Ghana during the colonial period appeared to have been quite difficult, at least in principle. The point is that the Former Enemy Aliens (Restriction on Immigration) Ordinance of 1919, for example, prohibited the entry into Ghana of all citizens or subjects of a state with which Britain had been fighting in the First World War, particularly during the year 1918, unless they obtained entry permits from the Colonial Secretary. Failure to obtain immigration permits before entry was considered a grave offence which could lead to the summary trial and incarceration of offenders with or without hard labour for a term not exceeding one year or a fine not exceeding £100, or both. Moreover, the Colonial Secretary could refuse to grant immigration permits to any former enemy alien without assigning any reasons for such refusal. The Secretary

70 Ibid., p. 1438.
even had the power to cancel permits already issued to subjects of enemy states to Britain at any time he considered it necessary to do so.

Besides former enemy aliens, certain categories of people were labelled as prohibited immigrants, and, as a result, barred from entering Ghana. Generally, these were people described by the Governor or the Minister responsible for the Police Department as undesirable, "medically undesirable", destitute, and of "unsound mind" or lunatics. Other prohibited immigrants were prostitutes, paupers, convicts, agitators, non-native servants, and persons without passports. In colonial Ghana legislation, an agitator was a person who, by sufficient evidence, was considered by the Governor to be likely to conduct himself in a manner that could be dangerous to the peace and good order of the country, or to excite enmity between the people of the country and the British Crown, or to undermine the power and authority of the British Crown in Ghana. According to the provisions of the same laws, a destitute person was someone who was, or was likely to be, a burden on public funds by reason of mental or bodily health or unable to support himself and his dependants, if any; whereas an undesirable person was someone who was, or had been, conducting himself in a way that was dangerous to peace, good order, good government or public morals. A prohibited immigrant who breached the law and entered Ghana, except in accordance with visiting or transit permit, was guilty of an offence and was, on conviction, liable to a fine of £50 or to imprisonment for six months, and might be deported. Certificates issued to prohibited immigrants were cancelled when, within eighteen months, they were arrested and proofs adduced that they were indeed prohibited immigrants.

Going strictly by the explanations given for the designations agitator, destitute person, and undesirable person, one may observe that the colonial authorities were justified in preventing such people from entering Ghana; for no serious government would entertain such persons in its territory. The problem, however, was the seemingly absolute power vested in the Governor to identify and classify people as agitators, destitute and undesirable and, for that matter, prohibited from entering the country. In any

---


72 Gold Coast Colony, "The Immigration Restriction Ordinance, 1926", p. 774.


74 Gold Coast Colony, "The Immigration Restriction Ordinance, 1926", pp. 776–777.

75 Ibid., p. 777.
case, to ensure the effective implementation of the law, police and immigration officers were empowered by the law to prevent all prohibited immigrants from entering Ghana and could even without warrant arrest any person suspected to have acted in contravention of the law.\textsuperscript{76}

Apart from dealing with citizens or subjects of enemy states and prohibited immigrants, the colonial authorities also devised measures which affected the entry of ordinary immigrants\textsuperscript{77} into the country. In this case, every immigrant who entered the country, whether by land, sea or air, was required to report himself to an immigration officer for examination and to be issued with certificate of entry. Each immigrant was also required to deposit an amount of £25 with the Colonial Treasurer, or with any other official as might be directed by the Governor, for a one-year period.\textsuperscript{78} The said money was returned to the owner when he was about to leave the country at the end of the stipulated period. Conversely, the money was forfeited and used to defray all costs that would be incurred in the course of repatriating the owner if he became destitute and was unable to support himself before the one-year period expired. The seriousness of the government in relation to restrictions on entry into the country could be understood from the fact that owners or captains of ships were required to sign bonds with the government and pay the required amount for those bonds, promising to return, at the end of a stipulated period, all passengers brought into the country who were not born in any part of West Africa.\textsuperscript{79} Until such bonds were entered into to the satisfaction of the government, clearance was to be refused. Contravention of these provisions was considered as a misdemeanour, and Customs Officers or Police Constables were entrusted with the power to cause the arrest of those who breached the law.

On the basis of the contents of these measures alone, one would be tempted to conclude that regulations on entry into colonial Ghana had been very stringent and, for that matter, made entry almost impossible. However, a scrupulous examination of the situation on the ground reveals that the opposite was rather the case. These ordinances, in fact, did not help check

\textsuperscript{76} See, for example, Clause (2) of Article 13 of "The Immigration Restriction Ordinance, 1926", p. 777.

\textsuperscript{77} The term ordinary immigrants is used here to refer to all immigrants who were neither citizens or subjects of enemies of the British in the First World War nor prohibited immigrants.


\textsuperscript{79} Ibid., p. 1430. Note that all people born in West Africa were considered citizens of Ghana and those not born in any part of West Africa regarded as aliens. See discussion on citizenship below for more details.
the influx of immigrants into Ghana to any significant degree. The point is that in British colonial citizenship laws, the indigenous people of a territory were referred to as 'natives'. Nevertheless, these laws regarded peoples in non-British territories also as 'natives'. So long as a person was considered a 'native', he had the same rights and duties as an indigenous Ghanaian. Hence, the restrictions imposed on immigration did not apply to such categories of people, and this entitled them to the right of entry into, residence and work in Ghana. Further, 'enemies of the British' in the First World War referred only to the Central Powers and their allies as well as colonies possessed by these powers. Germany and Turkey were the only countries among the Central Powers who had colonies in Africa, but by the start of the war, Turkey had lost all her colonial possessions in Africa. Germany still held hers, but they were seized from her at the end of the war when she was defeated. It implied that only citizens of countries of the Central Powers and their former colonies were prohibited from entering Ghana. Citizens of other countries were, thus, not restricted from entering territories under the British Crown, including Ghana. It could even be argued that after the war, citizens or subjects of the Central Powers and their allies would no longer be barred from entering Ghana, since the war ceased in 1918 and treaties were signed in 1919 between the Allies and the Central Powers. Moreover, the various immigration regulations made provision for prohibited immigrants to enter the country on certain conditions. Clause (1) of Article 11 of the 1926 Immigration Restriction Ordinance, for example, stipulated categorically that a prohibited immigrant could be allowed to enter Ghana on the condition that

He shall deposit with the immigration officer the sum of sixty pounds: [sic] Provided that the immigration officer may in lieu of requiring the said deposit permit the intending immigrant to give security by bond in the prescribed form in the sum of sixty pounds with one or more sureties to be approved by the immigration officer conditional on the intending immigrant obtaining from an immigration officer within six months after entering the Colony a certificate that he is a fit and proper person to be received as an immigrant.

Even if such a prohibited immigrant was able to procure the aforesaid certificate, the money deposited was to be given back to him; it was only when he failed to obtain the necessary papers within the said period that he forfeited his deposit, which was to be used to defray all costs that would be
incurred in deporting him from the country. In addition, the restrictions imposed on immigration did not apply to British consuls, members in the British military, air force, naval officers, diplomatic or consular services, unofficial members of the Legislative Council, government officials, foreign officials entering and passing through Ghana to or from other countries, and wives and children under sixteen years of non-prohibited immigrants.

Considering that the colonial administration was not really strict on the influx of foreign nationals into Ghana, one may wonder the extent to which Ghanaian citizenship and nationality were defined during the colonial period, and the degree to which the indigenous people of the country were distinguished from non-locals.

**Definition of Citizenship**

It is an important concern of every sovereign nation to clearly define nationality and citizenship with the view to giving its citizens identity and distinguishing them from non-citizens. In view of this, the British colonial administration of the Gold Coast paid much attention to nationality and citizenship legislations. The authorities, thus, adopted measures that dealt with citizenship and, thus, established the nationality of indigenous Ghanaians as against those who were not indigenes of the land. Such measures comprised the British Nationality and Status of Aliens Act of 1914, 1918, 1922 and 1933; the Aliens Ordinance of 1925 and 1935; the Naturalisation Regulations of 1933; the Statute Law Revision Act of 1933; and the British Nationality Act of 1948. These measures made a distinction between natives and non-natives. The latter supposedly comprised members of groups whose areas of origin territorially lay outside the boundaries of Ghana. However, the term native was legally defined as “British subjects or protected persons”, and, by implication, they included any persons born in territories under the dominion of or owing allegiance to the British Crown. The children and spouses of such persons were also considered British subjects and were, for that matter, citizens of Ghana. The term native even referred to all persons ordinarily resident in any territory in West Africa.
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80 See subsections 2 and 3 of Clause (1) of Article 11 of “The Immigration Restriction Ordinance, 1926”, p. 776.
under Britain, France, Spain, Portugal as well as the Belgian Congo, the
Mandated Territories in West Africa, Liberia, Fernando Po and Sao Tome.\textsuperscript{83}

In addition, the colonial government made provision in its
citizenship and nationality laws for people in colonial territories other than
those of the British who wished to apply for naturalisation or registration to
do so and be considered as citizens of Ghana. Important legislations in this
direction were the British Nationality and Status of Aliens Act, 1914, and
the Naturalisation Regulations, 1933. Aliens who had resided in a British
dominion or had been in the service of the British Crown for a period of not
less than five years could apply to the Secretary of State for certificate of
naturalisation.\textsuperscript{84} People who had been ordinarily resident in Ghana
continuously for a period of seven years or more without changing their
residence were given automatic Ghanaian citizenship and, as a result, did
not need to apply for a certificate of naturalisation.\textsuperscript{85} Meanwhile, persons to
whom such certificates were granted were entitled to all political and other
rights, powers and privileges, and were at the same time subject to all the
obligations, duties, and liabilities to which citizens were entitled or subject.

Admittedly, although these nationality measures distinguished
British subjects from others, they did not clearly define Ghanaian
citizenship. They rather allowed any British subjects, irrespective of their
race and country of origin, to freely and legally move to and reside as well
as work in Ghana. However, under certain circumstances, including the
acquisition of certificate “by false representation or fraud, or by
concealment of material circumstances, or that the person to whom the
certificate is granted has shown himself by act or speech to be disaffected or
disloyal to His Majesty”, certificates granted could be withdrawn.\textsuperscript{86} Other
instances in which certificates of naturalisation could be revoked included
being a subject of an enemy state to Britain or assisting an enemy against
the British in war; being sentenced by a court in a British dominion to
imprisonment for a term of not less than one year, or to a term of penal

\textsuperscript{83} Refer to Government of the Gold Coast Colony, “The Immigrant Paupers
Ordinance, 1909, 1912 and 1919”, pp. 1434-1435; “The European and Asiatic
Passengers Restriction Ordinance, 1912”, p. 1431; “The Regulation of Immigrants
Ordinance, 1914”, pp. 1429-1430; “The Immigration of Labourers Restriction
Ordinance, 1916”, pp. 1432-1433; and “The Immigration Restriction Ordinance of
1925, 1926 and 1927”, pp. 55-61, 772-782, and 1423-1431, in \textit{The Laws of the Gold

300–301.

\textsuperscript{85} Government of the Gold Coast Colony, “Immigration Restriction (Amendment)

servitude, or to a fine of not less than one hundred pound; not being of good character; and staying in a territory not under British dominion for a period of seven years after being granted the certificate. Worse of all, Clause (3) of Article 2 of the British Nationality and Status of Aliens Act, 1914, stated emphatically that the Secretary of State had the absolute power to withhold any certificate granted without assigning any reason for the withdrawal.

The obvious inference one could make from all this is that the acquisition of certificate of naturalisation did not in any way confer permanent citizenship or guarantee permanent stay in the country. Indeed, the possession of a certificate of naturalisation could not be used as a foundation for planning a secure future in the country. In view of the discretionary powers of the Secretary of State, certificates could be revoked at any time, and whenever such a development occurred, those who lost their certificates would lose all rights and privileges previously enjoyed. It also implied that their movement within the country and their economic activities would be strictly regulated by all legislations which applied to aliens in colonial Ghana. For example, they would need to renegotiate for permission to engage in any economic venture in the country.

Regulations on Employment and Economic Activities

A major concern of all governments is the organisation of their economies for the maximum benefit of their countries and nationals, while regulating the economic activities of foreigners within their borders. Accordingly, in the economic arena, too, the colonial administration passed a number of legislations to regulate the economic activities of both local and foreign businesses. In the first place, it was illegal for any persons, other than those of European or West African origin, to take up jobs in Ghana without the written consent of the Governor. Even where applications were officially made, the Governor could refuse them without giving any reasons for his refusal. Immigrants who entered the country to work and those who employed them in contravention of these ordinances could be arrested without warrant by any Customs Officer or Constable. People charged with a breach of the law could be summarily tried before a Police Magistrate or District Commissioner and on conviction thereof be liable to a term of imprisonment with or without hard labour for any period not exceeding one year or to be fined not exceeding £100, or both.

Provisions relating to employment may be misinterpreted to mean that aliens were prohibited from undertaking any economic activity in colonial Ghana altogether. Nevertheless, as pointed out, it was only
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87 Ibid., pp. 302–303.
89 Ibid., p. 1433.
unlawful to start engaging oneself in economic enterprise without the prior knowledge and agreement of the Governor, although he had the power to refuse the grant of application for such purposes. It could also be reasoned from the statement: “Any person other than a West African native, who arrived in the [Gold Coast] Colony to serve any other person, firm, company or association in any capacity ...”

90 that some immigrants entered Ghana to take up jobs. The problem or condition, however, was that if within a period of eighteen months from the date of his arrival such a person or employee became destitute, it was the responsibility of the person, firm, company or association that employed him to pay for all expenses that would be incurred in the course of deporting such a destitute to his home country. However, if the employer had paid an amount of money not exceeding £100 to the Colonial Treasurer as security before the person became destitute, then the employer would not be under any further liability in respect of such a person.

Interestingly, immigration regulations in colonial Ghana also affected company law. In this regard, much of the credit must be given to John Mensah Sarbah, for he may be said to have engineered the promulgation of the first legislation in this direction. Sarbah, appointed a member of the Legislative Council in 1901, used his membership of the Council to put forward several proposals for legislative reforms. One of the important matters for which Sarbah sought a legislation was limited liability companies. Eventually on December 11, 1906, the colonial government passed the Companies Ordinance, which sought to provide protection for all people, both foreign and local, who wanted to do business in Ghana. In the end, however, the Ordinance favoured the foreigner more than the local investor, for whom Sarbah had proposed such a measure. The Ordinance, thus, fell short of the expectations of Ghanaians at the time. Continued agitation for a more up to date companies legislation led to the passing of the Registration of Business Names Ordinance of 1937. This measure went a little way to meet some of the needs of the local people, but on the whole the law concerning companies remained substantially unchanged. The reason, it has been suggested, was that apart from few companies formed locally by Africans for the exportation of cocoa and the importation of general merchandise, most of which failed from one cause or the other, no

---

91 Ibid., p. 1435.
93 Ibid., p. 39.
African companies of substance were formed which could feel the benefits of the reform in the company law of the country.94

This apathetic attitude on the part of the British colonial administration became a matter of concern to some of the local people. Some Ghanaians could not remain silent over the continuous influx of foreigners into Ghana in particular and West Africa in general during the period. At its first congress in Accra in 1920, for instance, the National Congress of British West Africa asked for stricter immigration controls to exclude ‘undesirable’ Syrians and other nationals of Asian origin.95 This request was inspired by their resentment at the overwhelming role these Asian immigrants had carved for themselves in the economy. Impliedly, unrestricted immigration was one of the causes of the 1948 disturbances in Ghana. In fact, the Watson Commission instituted to inquire into the riots found that there was widespread hostility among all sections of the local people towards the steady influx of both European, and Asiatic and Asiatic peoples. The real complaint was, however, against the Levantine and Asiatic peoples “whose apparent rise from poverty to wealth in a comparatively short period of time has caused much heart-burning”.96 The Commission then recommended the adoption of measures that would strictly check immigration. In response to the peoples’ grievances and the Commission’s recommendations on unrestricted immigration, the British government promised to control immigration by introducing “immigration ... laws with the object of protecting the interests of the local inhabitants without discrimination against intending immigrants on grounds of race”.97

Consequently, some of the earlier measures were amended in the early 1950s to satisfy the demands of the local people. A careful examination of immigration control after the 1948 riots clearly shows, however, that no serious effort was made to effectively implement immigration regulations. This situation allowed immigrants to continue to dominate certain fields of economic activity in the country, arousing protest from some Ghanaian interest groups. This is evidenced by the fact that the National Crusade for the Protection of Ghanaian Enterprises, presided over by Dankyi-Awere, in 1953 started writing letters to the colonial government

94 Ibid.
protesting against the extent of foreigners' trading in Ghana. The government, nonetheless, remained the same in its approach to checking immigration. So unconcerned were the colonial authorities with immigration, and the consequent dominance of foreigners in certain sectors of the Ghanaian economy, that the National Crusade was compelled to state in its press releases that "we are waging a peaceful war of aggressiveness on the aliens".

It is not clear from available documents whether the National Crusade actually carried out its 'threats'. However, considering the selfish and exploitative attitude of the colonial authorities, it could be argued that not much was achieved for Ghanaians in terms of economic reforms. Being themselves Europeans, the authorities had so framed immigration policies in the economic sector to cater not only for the interest of the country, but also, and more especially, for the benefit of European merchants. In any case, why should they prevent all immigrants, "other than those of European (author's emphasis) and West African origin" from engaging in economic undertakings in the country? Evidently, the Asians and other immigrants who, it would be assumed, were the targets of these economic regulations were not dealt with as desired. No wonder that Osagyefo Dr. Kwame
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98 According to Margaret Peil, the National Crusade for the Protection of Ghanaian Enterprises was launched to protect, assist and educate its members on the role of efficiency for productivity toward economic salvation as well as advise the government about the activities of corruptible officials in the country who encouraged bribery, smuggling, and other forms of anti-social practices. See her study, "The Expulsion of West African Aliens", *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, Vol. 9 (1971), p. 212.

99 Ibid.

100 The economic philosophy of all the European imperialist powers was the maximum exploitation of both the human and natural resources of the colonies for the benefit of the colonising powers. As a result, the health of the colonial economy was measured not in terms of how much the colonial peoples gained but more in terms of how much the colonising powers benefitted from the economy. It is in this context that the contemporary economic backwardness of Africa and the overwhelming economic advancement of the West should be examined and understood.

101 Refer to Gold Coast Colony, "The Immigration of Labourers Restriction Ordinance, 1916", p. 1432.

102 The Western banks and other large expatriate firms, like the United Africa Company, and financial institutions in Ghana were more liberal and generous in offering financial assistance to European and Asian merchants and businesses in Ghana, whereas they refused these privileges to African businessmen and companies. See Adjepong, "Immigration into Ghana", pp. 40–41; Michael Crowder, *West Africa Under Colonial Rule* (London: Hutchinson and Co (Publishers) Ltd. and Benin City: Ethiope Publishing Corporation, 1968), pp. 293–297 and 411–412.
Nkrumah and his Convention People's Party assumed power in 1957 and inherited an economy heavily dominated by expatriate firms.103

Instances for Deportation

As pointed out above, nationality laws often distinguish between citizens, or nationals, and immigrants, or strangers. Citizenship of a nation-state confers on nationals an inalienable right of residence, employment and free movement in that state, but the residence, employment and movement of immigrants are subject to conditions set by immigration regulations. More importantly, the fact that nationality and citizenship laws distinguish between citizens and non-citizens is an indication that non-citizens can be deported from the country through deportation orders or legislations. In its efforts to regulate immigration, the British colonial government made provision for instances in which immigrants whose presence was considered unconducive to the good of the country could be deported. Measures such as the Deportation of Suspects Ordinance, 1916; the Aliens Ordinance, 1925; the Immigration Restriction Ordinance, 1926; the Repatriation of Convicted Persons Ordinance, 1945; the Immigrant British Subjects (Deportation) Ordinance, 1945; the Immigration Ordinance, 1947; and many other deportation orders were promulgated for this purpose. For instance, the Deportation of Suspects Ordinance of 1916 empowered the Governor, during the course of the First World War, to order the deportation from Ghana of any persons suspected to have assisted an enemy of the British, "either by doing any act, or communication by any means, or in any way whatsoever, or to have attempted to render any such assistance, or to intend to render any such assistance ...."104

The other measures, on the other hand, empowered the Supreme Court or a Magistrate's Court to order the deportation from Ghana of immigrants convicted of punishable offences. The Repatriation of Convicted Persons Ordinance of 1945 made specific reference to people of African descent, but, in addition to the Deportation of Suspects Ordinance, all the other repatriation measures referred to "any person", that is, all people who, according to the citizenship and nationality laws of the land, were strictly not considered nationals of Ghana. Other categories of immigrants liable to


repatriation were those who contravened the immigration laws of the country, such as not possessing a valid passport or valid visa.\textsuperscript{105}

From the 1930s, the colonial authorities passed several deportation orders against individual aliens whose presence in Ghana was deemed unconducive to the public good or who had been convicted of certain offences. In 1934, for instance, a deportation order was passed against a Bukari Grunshie, who was subsequently ordered to leave Ghana before the 20th of May, 1934. In 1938, I.T.A. Wallace-Johnson, a Sierra Leonean, and Dr. Nnamdi Azikiwe, a Nigerian, were also deported under the Sedition Act of 1934 for allegedly carrying out activities calculated to overthrow the colonial system. Again in 1954, the colonial administration deported some Nigerians from Ghana.\textsuperscript{106} Interestingly, provisions were made also for the expulsion of the dependents of people expelled.

A critical observation of the stipulations of these measures point clearly to the fact that the colonial authorities were really serious and quick to effect the repatriation of people sentenced to expulsion. In the first place, persons against whom deportation orders were issued were required to comply strictly with the dictates of these orders. Secondly, Article 3 of the Deportation of Suspects Ordinance stipulated that as soon as orders for the expulsion of any such suspects were issued, all public officers of the Colony were “hereby empowered and authorized to take all such necessary action, and to do all such things, as the efficient execution of such order or direction may require.”\textsuperscript{107} In cases where deportees were serving prison terms, they could either be repatriated outright, notwithstanding that the full term of imprisonment had not been served, or be kept in prison till the end of their terms and be deported after their release.\textsuperscript{108} Moreover, deportees were not allowed to return to Ghana for as long as the repatriation orders against them were in force. Even some people were not permitted to move from their own regions of origin in the country to any other part of the country until the expiration of the duration specified by the orders against them.\textsuperscript{109}


\textsuperscript{106} Peil, “West African Aliens” p. 205.

\textsuperscript{107} Gold Coast Colony, “The Deportation of Suspects Ordinance” (1916), p. 603.

\textsuperscript{108} Idem., “The Immigration Restriction Ordinance” (1926), p. 777. Note that the number of years for which deportees were to be out of the country was specified. Hence, those who were repatriated after serving their full prison terms suffered such fate only if they were released before the period specified in their deportation orders expired. However, if those years expired before they were released from prison, then they could not be expelled.

\textsuperscript{109} Idem., “Repatriation of Convicted Persons Ordinance”, p. 236.
deportation varied from one expellee to another, but in cases where people were barred from moving from one area within the country to another, the period did not exceed three years.\textsuperscript{110}

Evidently, these repatriations had political implications, and were not means of regulating immigration in the real sense. Moreover, they contained elements of arbitrariness, at least, to some degree. This assertion is strengthened when examined critically within the context of some of the provisions of the deportation laws. Clause (1) of Article 8 of the 1925 Aliens Ordinance, and Article 5 of the 1945 Repatriation of Convicted Persons Ordinance granted people against whom deportation orders were issued the right to appeal against their conviction in accordance with the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Ordinance, and if the Appeal ruled against the deportation, it would not carry any effect. Generally, deportation orders did not take effect until they had been certified by the Chief Justice and forwarded to the Governor in Council. These conditions, however, appeared to be more theoretical than practical because they could not override the Governor's determination to expel. Actually, the same measures empowered the Governor in Council to make an order, at any time he thought fit, requiring an alien to leave, particularly if he deemed it conducive to the public good. In furtherance, whereas Clause (2) of Article 3 of the Aliens Ordinance specified that a deportation order could be made "subject to any condition which the Governor in Council may think proper", Clause (3) of Article 8 of the same Ordinance stated firmly that the right to appeal against a deportation order could not "prejudice the power of the Governor in Council to make an order for deportation ...."\textsuperscript{111}

Essentially, the power to expel rested with the Governor. But that was not all; any alien with respect to whom a deportation order was issued, or a certificate was given by a court with the view to the making of such an order, could be detained on the instructions of the Governor before being sent away. Even where expellees were placed on ships, aircrafts or vehicles about to leave Ghana, they were considered to be in legal custody whilst so detained until the ships, aircrafts or vehicles left the country.\textsuperscript{112} Indeed, the powers wielded by the Governor in relation to repatriation considerably influenced the living conditions and attitudes of immigrants in the country. Additionally, the very existence of the possibility of deportation fostered a considerable degree of legal insecurity since decisions concerning the stay and expulsion of foreign citizens were made by administrative authorities who had much discretion in interpreting immigration regulations. Such legal insecurity was made worse when foreign citizens had no right to appeal against decisions of administrative authorities.

\textsuperscript{110} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{111} Gold Coast Colony, "The Aliens Ordinance, 1925", pp. 64--65.

\textsuperscript{112} Ibid., p. 64.
Assessment and Conclusion

Looking at the provisions of the measures examined above, one would contend that immigration regulations in colonial Ghana, other than those for political reasons, were not strictly enforced. First, citizenship and immigration regulations were alien to the African way of life in pre-colonial times. International boundaries separating one country from others were non-physical and not effectively patrolled. Added to these was the fact that movements across certain boundaries, such as those of the Ghana-Togo, Ghana-Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana-Burkina Faso, Togo-Benin, Benin-Nigeria, Nigeria-Cameroun, Niger-Mauritania, and Senegal-Sierra Leone, were, to the local people, not considered international but internal in view of the fact that people of the same ethnic stock lived on both sides of the said boundaries. The scope of citizenship laws were, further, so wide that all people in both British and non-British colonial territories in West Africa could legally claim Ghanaian citizenship because all people under the authority of the British Crown were considered British subjects, while all West Africans were considered 'natives'. Neither were the authorities strict on ensuring that immigrants in Ghana either naturalised or registered as citizens of Ghana nor did they see to it that immigrants did not freely move from one area to another within Ghana as stipulated by existing regulations. It was also impossible for immigration officers to issue permits to all foreigners who entered Ghana since it would be difficult identifying all such people. In addition, some immigrants would feel reluctant to show themselves to immigration officers to be examined and be issued with certificates.

It can also be observed that most of the immigration regulations the administration passed remained dormant in the statute books because they needed more tax payers to enable them get more revenue for their administration. Moreover, the colonial authorities needed cheap labour force for the maximum exploitation of the resources of the country as well as people who were prepared to do the type of jobs Ghanaians despised. Apart from these, Europeans came to meet the principle of 'freedom of movement' well established in African culture, and they were prepared to maintain it for their ultimate benefit. For example, it would be easy for them to transport labourers from one region to another. The most important reason, it is argued, was that the original intention of the colonial powers in creating political boundaries in Africa was not to create political units that would determine the basis of future African nation-states. Neither did they have the idea of restricting the movement of Africans from one geographical area to another, nor protecting the resources of a territory for the sole enjoyment of the indigenous people. Those boundaries appear more to have been imposed only for the European imperial powers to distinguish between their
possessions and those of rival nations in order to prevent any clashes among themselves.

Clearly, in spite of the numerous measures passed, which were expected to reduce the population of foreigners, the population of immigrants in the country rather increased. For instance, the 1913 Ghana population census indicated that there were 4,142 foreigners working in the country. By 1931, according to the census of that year, out of a total population of 3,163,464, there were 292,294 non-Ghanaians (3,078 non-Africans and 289,216 Africans of foreign-origin) in the country; the majority of non-Ghanaians Africans came from the French colonies, while Nigerians accounted for 95 percent of migrants from the British colonies.\textsuperscript{113}

It should be noted, however, that the colonial authorities' indifferent attitude towards immigration control was not peculiar to Ghana alone. The situation was generally the same everywhere in Africa during the colonial period. The reclamation of independence, nevertheless, changed the pattern of migration and immigration control in Africa by reducing free international movements through elaborate development of visa and passport regulations, or customs and controls, of the need for foreign workers to obtain work permits, or restrictions on the repatriation of savings. As Akin L. Mabogunje rightly indicates, African migrants came to perceive the real significance of national independence to be, for the first time, governments' definition of who their citizens were and who were not.\textsuperscript{114} The newly independent countries were zealous to reserve available employment opportunities for their nationals and raise the standard of living of their citizens. Consequently, a series of regulations were promulgated by the various governments to generally regulate immigration of foreigners but specifically to discourage the inflow of unskilled or unqualified persons into their territories for the purpose of taking up employment and to provide the independent nations the opportunity to get rid of illegal foreigners in their midst. In the case of Ghana, therefore, the Nkrumah administration which inherited power from the colonial government introduced a number of measures to regulate immigration. The implementation of these laws and orders were, expectedly, relatively stringent and effective in comparison with those adopted during the colonial era. Nevertheless, the policies of the Nkrumah administration, such as its pursuit of the policy of African

\textsuperscript{113} Micah Bump, "Ghana: Searching for Opportunities at Home and Abroad", in \textit{Migration Information Source} (2006), \url{http://www.migrationinformation.org/Profiles/display.cfm?ID=3}, (accessed 21 June, 2006).

brotherhood and the influence of the colonial administration, had a considerable impact on the execution of these measures.115
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closed to women. As observed by Myers (2009), "the rules governing what jobs military women can hold often seem contradictory or muddled." For instance, women "can serve as machine gunners on Humvees but cannot operate Bradleys, the Army's armoured fighting vehicle. They can work with some long-range artillery but not short-range ones. Women can walk Iraq's dangerous streets as members of the military police but not as members of the infantry. And, they can lead combat engineers in war zones as officers, but cannot serve among them" (Myers, 2009). Besides, throughout the world, the role of women in combat has become a contentious issue in present militaries. The exclusion of women from many combat roles is seen by some as a form of sexual discrimination whilst the alleged physical and mental differences of the two sexes, the effect of the presence of the opposite sex on the battlefield, and the traditional view of males as soldiers” are postulated as arguments for and against women engaging as soldiers under combat situations (http://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/women_in_the_military).

Indeed, “just as war is so often associated with generalized images of masculinity and femininity, women have become associated with life giving and men with life-taking” (Coulter, Persson and Utas, 2008: 7). This polarization of men and women as comparable with war and peace is common; depicting the image of the aggressive male and suggesting the representation of a propensity for conflict and aggression as something inherently male. Also, it effectively conceals how women are affected by and actively participate in violent conflicts and war. From this perception, war remains exclusively male concern, and women are seen mainly as victims and are therefore denied agency. Unlike men, they are not perceived as critical actors in social, economic and political structures. Therefore, viewing women as more nurturing and peaceful both supports and reproduces patriarchal values, in war as in peace. As women endeavoured to achieve equality with men and parity in employment, the military remained one of the professions that resisted opening its doors to them. Many men considered it unfitting for women to be placed in danger, regarding it as their responsibility to defend and protect men (http://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/women_in_the_military).

However, the military today, isn't what it used to be. Nowhere is that more apparent than in the policies governing women in combat, whose roles have increased as steadily as the military and the very nature of war have changed. The military which is generally perceived to be a world of men is now slowly being penetrated by women. Indeed, the pressure on the military to change comes principally from the fact that women are being excluded from the top ranks, where those without combat ribbons generally need not apply. The hesitancy stems from fears — unsupported by experience or research — that women might prove physically or mentally unfit for
combat, or that their presence on the front lines might undermine morale (http://handle.dtic.mil/100.2/ADA379603).

Traditional restrictions on female employment in a number of societies have since been broken. As women demonstrated their capabilities in previously male-dominated civilian industries, women in the Army broke the stereotypes which restricted them from moving into areas well outside of customary roles. For instance, in September 2012, Israel’s only co-ed combat unit, the Caracal battalion, thwarted an attack along Israel’s border with Egypt. The media celebrated that a female soldier shot down one of the three attackers. Their victory earned the battalion recognition by countering skepticism about “female soldiers’ ability to fight alongside men.” Military officials praised the battalion, thereby supporting women’s inclusion in combat forces (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/09/24/caracal-battalion-israel-co-ed-combat-unit_n_1909132.html).

Despite such feats, general debates about women joining combat forces usually inquire into how well women can perform in armed conflicts. Their ability to fight alongside men is the measure of their worth, and their worth is the predominant factor often considered when deciding whether to include women into combat forces. As soldiering has been included in the moral universe of men in ways that it has not for women, fighting women are frequently considered by their very existence to be transgressing accepted female behaviour, and the very act of fighting by definition makes women and girls less feminine and by extension ‘unnatural’ (Coulter, Persson and Utas, 2008: 8; Byrne, 1996).

In Nigeria, as other nation states, the defence of the state’s national sovereignty, independence and interests was until recent times, the exclusive preserve of men (http://www.history.army.mil/brochures/WAC.HTM). As modern warfare and the institutions of military power began to change, so did the architecture of the military setup in Nigeria as evidenced in the Nigeria Defence Academy’s training programme which provides the basis of the focus of this presentation. The Academy broke its traditional practice of enlisting only male military officers for its “Regular Combatant Course” by giving female cadets the opportunity to train with their male counterparts in all branches of commission.

This paper, therefore, interrogates the extent of inclusiveness in the Nigerian Army’s operational outlook, and the promise women have shown in their participation in some of the operations, and the prospects of doing more if the talents and capabilities of women are properly harnessed in the army especially in combat operations.
Understanding National Security

Security is, generally, accepted to be about the condition or feeling of safety, the protection and preservation of core values and the absence of threats to these values. It also has to do with freedom from danger or from threats to a nation’s ability to protect and develop itself, promote its cherished values and legitimate interests (Imobighe, 1990: 24). Security is crucial to the survival of any nation-state. Without adequate security of lives and property, society will be plunged into the Hobbesian state of nature manifesting lawlessness, chaos and eventual disintegration. This is why security is considered as a dynamic condition which involves the relative ability of a state to counter threats to its core values and interests (Pogoson, 2013).

Various attempts have thus been made to provide an adequate conceptualization of security; the traditional doctrine which identifies security with the possession of strong armed forces, built on high concentration of manpower and weapons and the argument that advocates a broadened conceptualization of security that extends beyond a military determination of threats to encompass a wider understanding of terms such as satisfaction of basic needs, protection of basic needs, protection of cultural and religious identity, etc.

The concept of national security has a plethora of definitions and it will continue to increase (Pogoson, 2013; Nwolise, 2012; Onuoha, 2009; Oche, 2005; Okodolor, 2004; Imobighe, 1998; Buzan, 1983; Mathews, 1989; and McNamara, 1968). This explains why the security architecture of most nations is quite flexible because what may not be of relevance to national security in one clime will be of great importance in another. Scholars have made attempts to conceptualize security by relying on the international trend and their immediate environment. For instance, during the era of cold war, the adherents of the doctrine of traditional security, strong armed forces, built on high concentration of man power and a large understanding that powerful military is required to safeguard national spin-off of this security architecture brought about the phenomenon of arms race on the global scale especially between the two major power blocs that dominated the international security land scape before it eventual demise in 1989, due to the collapse of the Soviet Union. The post-Soviet era brought inclusive and also incorporate the myriad of challenges confronting states in the world especially the developing nation states such as Nigeria.

This explains why McNamara (1968: 149) postulated much earlier that security is not just military hard ware though it may include it, security
is not military force though it may involve it, security is not traditional military activity, though it may compass it. Security is development and without development there can be no security. This is the new thinking in national security design which has been embraced by some scholars such as Booth (1994), Imobighe (1998), Naidoo (2000), Jinadu (2000), Nnoli (2006), Alli (2010), Nwolise (2012) and Pogoson (2013). The conception or understanding of national security has changed fundamentally, and it is now people and development focused. Accordingly, national security is “human security which should be the bedrock of national security as it is the security of ordinary men and women in society (Imobighe, 1998).

Security in whatever form is a standard measurement of the viability of any state or nation. A state of insecurity means that the society concerned is on red alert and that a risk factor has been identified which must be contained. This largely may involve military and non-military activities. All nations have the right under international law to secure their territorial space and protect their citizens from any imminent attack in whatever form. Evidently, a powerful military is a crucial requirement of national security and the acquisition of national military power is a priority national goal.

Security is evidently the major concern of modern states all over the world, this explains why chapter 11 section 14 subsection (2) (b) of the constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 as amended stated that the security and welfare of the people shall be the primary purpose of government. In other words, the states exist for the purpose of security.

Women and the Military: An Overview

The role of women in the military has always been a controversial issue because the battlefield still remains largely the domain of men. The narrow definition of ‘fighters’ or ‘combatants’, where male soldiers are the norm, works to the detriment of women and girls within fighting forces. Women are generally considered not to be as physically strong or emotionally aggressive as men to cope with the brutalities of war. However, women have been engaged in the military for thousands of years all over the world. Although, they were frequently granted only supporting logistics roles in the military, they maintained continuous presence due to their proven skills, knowledge, courage, commitments and efforts.

Women have played many roles in the military, from ancient warrior women, to the women currently serving in conflicts, even though the vast majority of all combatants have been men in every culture. However, the fact that women are not permitted into certain arenas of battle does not make their role significantly less important than that of their male counterparts. The complimentary skills of both male and female personnel are essential for the operational effectiveness of such operations.
In pre-colonial Nigeria, women played a very significant role in the political history of ancient Zaria, Old Ife and Benin. The modern city of Zaria was founded in the first half of the 16th century, by a woman called Queen Bakwa Turuku. She had a daughter called Amina who later succeeded her as Queen. Queen Amina was a great and powerful warrior. She built a high wall around Zaria in order to protect the city from invasion and extended the boundaries of her territory beyond Bauchi. The story was not different in ancient Yorubaland. The significant role played by prominent women such as Moremi of Ife and Emotan of Benin in the precolonial history of Nigeria cannot be ignored. Moremi and Emotan were great amazons who displayed tremendous bravery and strength in the politics of Ife and Benin respectively (Omu and Makinwa, "1976).

Likewise, the Dahomey Amazons or Mino were a Fon all-female military regiment of the Kingdom of Dahomey in the present-day Republic of Benin which lasted until the end of the 19th century. They were so named by Western observers and historians due to their similarity to the semi-mythical Amazons of ancient Anatolia and the Black Sea. The women soldiers were rigorously trained. By the mid-19th century, they numbered between 1,000 and 6,000 women, about a third of the entire Dahomey army, according to reports written by visitors. The reports also noted variously that the women soldiers suffered several defeats, but that the women soldiers were consistently judged to be superior to the male soldiers in effectiveness and bravery (http://www.badassoftheweek.com/dahomey.html).

In the American Civil War, there were a few women who cross-dressed as men in order to fight. Fighting on the battlefront as men was not the only way women involved themselves in war. Some women braved the battlefront as nurses and aides. More than 30,000 women served in World War I, mostly in the nursing corps, and more than 10 times that many in World War II, again mostly in nursing and administration, freeing men for combat. All the main nations used women in uniform. The great majority served as clerks, drivers, welfare workers, nurses, radio operators, flight controllers, ordnance personnel, and course instructors, or support roles. Over 500,000 had combat roles in anti-aircraft units in Britain and Germany, and front-line units in Russia.

Roles for women beyond technical and secretarial support started to open up in the late 1970s and early '80s. From the beginning of the 1970s, most Western armies began to admit women to serve active duty. Only some armies permit women to fill active combat roles, these are: New Zealand, Canada, Denmark, Finland, Italy, Germany, Norway, Israel, Serbia, Sweden, Switzerland and Taiwan (http://www.atyourlibrary.org/culture/women-combat-warriors-face-difficult-challenges). Israel is currently the only country in the world with a mandatory military service requirement for women. Mandatory conscription for single and married women without
children began in 1948 (http://www.your-krav-maga-expert.com/women-in-iddf.html). In 2011 and 2012, the U.S. Defense Department began looking at loosening its near-universal ban on women serving in direct positions of combat, including ground combat, as opposed to other prominent but non-combat positions. In 2013, the United States Armed Forces overturned a 1994 rule banning women from serving in certain combat positions, potentially clearing the way for the presence of women in front-line units and elite commando teams (http://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/jan/23/pentagon-overturn-ban-women-combat). The USA decided to consider women to serve in the most intense and physically hazardous combat positions in the military, including the Navy SEALs, the Army Rangers and the Marine infantry. The Navy SEAL, the special operations force is used for crucial military and anti-terror operations, this came after 71 years of the existence of Navy SEAL (http://www.nytimes.com/2013/06/18/us/elite-units-in-us-military-to-admit-women.html). The reality is that women have been fighting and dying alongside their male colleagues, in the two wars in Afghanistan and Iraq (Browder and Pflaeging, 2010). The United States’ Department of Defense reports that women make up 15 percent of the military, and over the past decade, more than 280,000 women have been deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan. 152 of these women have died (http://www.defense.gov/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=119100).

Despite various, though limited roles in the armies of past societies, the role of women in the military, particularly in combat, is controversial and it is only recently that women have begun to be given a more prominent role in contemporary armed forces. As increasing numbers of countries begin to expand the role of women in their militaries, the debate continues.

**Nigeria’s National Security Architecture**

Security policy deals with the broad issues of the management of the multiple threats to the core and context-specific values in the international system (Eze, 2010: 7). In this context, the national security policy of Nigeria provides the framework with which the calculation of instrumental responses (military, economic, social, health, etc.) to these multiple threats ensue (Alli, 2012:11).

Since Nigeria’s independence in 1960, the operational security architecture of the country is a reflection of the realist paradigm of projecting its powers within a state – centric system, and a clear separation between foreign and domestic policy, where by its internal security is directed at maintaining law and order, the external is directed at deterring external military threats (Pogoson, 2013:23). Nigeria has the largest, best equipped and trained armed forces in the West African sub-region (Alli, 2012:11). Accordingly, one of the realities of the political landscape of West Africa is the clear unquestionable and transparent preponderance of Nigeria.
as the leading and hegemonic local actor in the sub-region (Akindele; 2003). Besides, the national security architecture of Nigeria as other nations is about the people and the development of the country. As observed by President Gen. Olusegun Obasanjo, Nigeria’s national security is the aggregation of the security interests of individuals, communities, ethnic groups, and entities, and institutions, which make up the nation. The objectives are to strengthen Nigeria and advance its national interests and goals; enhance human development; and contain instability and control crime (Obasanjo, 2001: 23).

Nigeria’s national security architecture depends on three basic fundamental instruments for its design and operations: the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 as amended, the National Defence Policy and the Armed Forces Act. Sections 214 and 217 of the Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 as amended made provision for the establishment and maintenance of the Army, Navy, Air force and other security agencies that may be necessary for the purpose of “defending from external aggression; maintaining its territorial integrity and securing its borders from violation on land, sea, or air; suppressing insurrection and acting in aid of civil authorities to restore order when called upon to do so by the president and performing of such other functions as may be prescribed by an act of the national Assembly.” The National Defence Policy on the other hand advances the protection of the country’s interest as prescribed in the constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 as amended. The Armed Forces Acts 2004 made provisions for the constitution, operations and discipline of the armed forces of the Federal Republic of Nigeria.

The oldest arm of the armed forces is the Nigerian Army, which has a long history dating back to 1862 when Lt. Glover of the Royal Navy formed the very first force of 18 selected locals which became known as “Glover Hausas.” The Nigerian Navy came much later in 1958, while the Nigerian Air Force was established by an act of Parliament in 1964. The first Nigerian military unit, Glover’s Hausas, was established in 1862 by Captain John Glover to defend Lagos. In addition to Glover’s Hausas, the Royal Niger Company Constabulary was raised in 1888 to protect British interests in Northern Nigeria. The Company Constabulary was recruited to serve an internal security role in Northern Nigeria. This constabulary formed the core of the Northern Nigeria Regiment of the West African Frontier Force (WAFF). The third unit, the Oil Rivers Irregulars, was created predominantly of Igbo’s in 1891. This unit was later designated the Niger Coast Constabulary, and formed the Southern Regiment of them WAFF. The two regiments became the Nigeria Regiment of the WAFF on January 1, 1914 along with the consolidation of the Nigerian Protectorates (Dummar, 1989). Since then, the armed forces have undergone structural
and operational changes which were geared towards the achievement of professionalism, though these had been punctuated by military incursions into politics and governance. These changes have taken into cognizance the new awareness and appraisal of the potential threats (internal and external) to the nation.

Shortly after independence, the Nigerian Defence Academy (NDA) was established on 5 February 1964 as a reformation of the then British run Royal Military Force Training College (RMFTC) (http://www.nairaland.com/1185783/nigeria-defence-academy-military-schools). The Academy was established in response to the defence needs of independent Nigeria to provide primary military officer training for the Nigerian Armed Forces. The Academy trains the officer corps of the Nigerian Army, Nigerian Navy and Nigerian Air Force. The Vision of NDA is “to produce officers with broad based training in both military and academic subjects designed to serve as foundation for the future progressive development of officers of the Nigerian Armed Forces.” The mission of the academy is “to provide each officer cadet with the knowledge, skills and values necessary to meet the requirements of a military officer through military, academic and character development.” In achieving its vision, the Academy conducts 3 types of primary officer military training. These are: the 5 year Regular Combatant Officer programme, the 9 month Short Service programme and the 9 month Direct Short Service programme (http://www.nda.edu.ng/).

The Academy has done fairly well in the training of female cadets for the Direct Short Service programme, but has no done so well in officers’ training in the other tiers of Academy. The 5 year Regular Combatant Officer programme used to be an exclusive preserve of male cadets before the policy was reviewed in 2010. Indeed, “the story of the Academy is not new. What is new is the deviation from its traditional practice of enlisting only male military officers for its Regular Combatant Course” (Dada, 2013) when the first set of 20 female cadets were admitted into the 5 year Regular Combatant Officer programme and commenced training alongside their male counterparts in October 2011. Evidently, the prospects shown by female officers in the military impelled the federal government to order for the inclusion and recruitment of female into the Nigerian Defence Academy as regular combatant officers (C:\Users\user\Documents\NIGERIAN ARMY PAPER\Nigeria Inducts First Batch Of Women Into Military Academy - Ventures Africa.mht). Under the new policy, women, like their male counterparts, will also be able to command major units of the army, fly fighter jets in the air force and be “seamen officers who could command a combat sea-going vessel of the Nigerian Navy” (C:\Users\user\Documents\NIGERIAN ARMY PAPER\Nigerian Army recruits first set of women into regular combatant commission.mht).
According to the Minister of Defence, the Presidential directive was given by Goodluck Jonathan to provide the female officers the same opportunity of rising to the pinnacle of their profession. The directive was "also aimed at providing women career opportunities that would allow them to compete with their male counterparts for the highest offices in the military" (C:\Users\User\Documents\NIGERIAN ARMY PAPER\Nigerian Army recruits first set of women into regular combatant commission.mht).

The changing nature of international relations and conflicts is positively teaming away from strength and physical prowess which had been the exclusive preserve of males. It is for these reasons and many more that NDA took the bold step to include female cadets in combat military training.

**Promise and Prospects of Female Combatants in the Nigerian Army**

The military, especially the army, which is the largest military arm in the country, was largely regarded as a male turf for a long time, until recently. More young women are now attracted to military life and are enlisting along with men to serve their country. From a mere 39 recruited by the army in 1986, nearly 1,000 females are now recruited yearly out of thousands of applicants (*Tell Magazine*, 2013).

Indeed, Nigerian women have shown great promise and have made wonderful statements in a matured and disciplined institution such as the Nigerian army. According to the Director Army Public relations Gen Attahiru Ibrahim, Nigerian women stated joining the army in the 1960s, immediately after independence, but they were mostly found in the corps such as medical, supplies and transport (see Appendices I and II). Even in these corps, the women had made remarkable contributions in the army which has become a source of pride to the army (*Tell Magazine*, 2013:48).

Some women that had made remarkable contributions in the army include Josephine Okwukele Tofele who served with Army nursing service which is now known as medical corps holds the record of been the first woman to attain the rank captain in the Nigerian Army before she retired in 1967. Rtd Major General Aderonke Kale who retired in 1997, was the first woman to attain the rank of Two Star General in the West African Sub – region (http://www.abiyamo.com/aderonke-kale-the-first-female-two-star-general-in-the-nigerian-armed-forces/). Also Rear Admiral Itunu Honotu who was decorated by President Goodluck Jonathan in 2012 with the rank of Two Star General is the Managing Director of the Nigerian Navy Post Service Housing Scheme (http://www.nairaland.com/1295197/itunu-hotonu-first-female-rear-admiral). These women got their ranks and achievements through hard work, diligence and intelligence. They were not promoted on the basis of gender or through patronage network but on merit.
According to Gen. Attahiru Ibrahim the total number of officers in the army is 130,000 and women constitute a significant number which he did not disclose citing national security as the reason (Tell, 2013:48). The combatant corps is one area that did not include women much earlier, but due to the diversification going on in the army, women are now included in training for combat duties. Trained and disciplined military women are hardly differentiated in terms of appearance from the men, they are physically strong and athletic, very fit looking and mentally alert like their male counterparts and they handle rifles professionally. Augustina Madambo, a female combatant soldier who enlisted in the army in 1986, said, “in the army there is no female every one is a soldier because everyone is giving the same training under same condition not withstanding whether you are male or female” (Tell Magazine, 2013: 45).

Female military officers in Nigeria have shown great prospects which if properly harnessed and encouraged would go a long way to make our military greater and bigger. Nigerian female soldiers are record breakers in the sub region and by extension in Africa, women officers such as Josephine Tofele, Aderonke Kale, Itunu Honotu etc are remarkable officers. This explains why Mr. President, Goodluck Jonathan asked during the decoration of Itunu Honotu to the rank of Rear-Admiral “So when will I see Nigerian women flying jets.” This statement by Mr. President found fulfillment in Blessing Liman of the Nigerian Air Force who is the first Female in Nigeria to fly a fighter Jet (Tell Magazine, 2013:50). Liman remarked, “As a first female pilot I would want to make a mark that would encourage other females to join the military because I believe that all females have equal opportunity to exercise their rights in whatever they choose to do. I believe that all female Nigerians who choose to become pilots can do it since I have done it” (C:\Users\user\Documents\NIGERIAN ARMY PAPER\BLESSING LIMAN, Nigerias First Female Military Pilot - nigeriafilms.com.mht).

For about five decades after independence women were not allowed to enlist in the combatant corps in spite of the fact that they all underwent the same rigorous military training. Whether it is climbing obstacles with backpacks, running a stretch or handling rifles during combat, there are no waivers for females – everyone got the same combat training. “When you’re recruited as a soldier, you are trained together. Nobody cares whether you’re female or male; you’re just a soldier and you are expected to perform same way,” explained Agha Okoro, an army lieutenant, and one of the few women in the officer cadre. (Tell Magazine, 2013) The recruitment of women at the NDA to train as regular combatant officers is a clear indication that the era of limitation for women in the military is over.

Female soldiers are serving the armies of their countries in a variety of ways, including going on domestic and international operations and
assisting their male counterparts at the frontlines during combats. Domestically, apart from working in the offices in various divisions and garrison commands in the country, female soldiers are also increasingly becoming part of domestic operations. In almost all states in the North where the Joint Military Task Force is fighting Boko Haram insurgents, female soldiers are noticeable in patrol vehicles and among gun-wielding, fully-armed male soldiers manning checkpoints in search of insurgents (Tell Magazine, 2013).

Some female soldiers like Gift Nwaobilor and Liman have scored firsts in their careers in the military. Nwaobilor became the first female tank crewman in the Nigerian Army in 2009 at the age of 22 years. She was the only female among the 59 soldiers that attended the basic crewman course for professional crewman in the Armoured Corps. On her own part, Liman, is not only the first female combatant pilot in the NAF, she is also the first in the entire West Africa. She achieved this feat at age 29 on April 27, 2012 after 12 months intensive flight training in various countries including the United States, Greece, Belarus, United Kingdom, Pakistan and Egypt. Before then, she was one of the 126 others who completed the Direct Short Service Course 2010/2011 cadets of the 325 Ground Training Group at the NAF Base, Kaduna.

Attahiru, observed that there is no discrimination of any type in the Army; “Every female soldier or officer can aspire to any position once she has the requisite qualifications and she has attended requisite courses in terms of professional military education”, and insisted that women have gotten to the warrant officer cadre, but they might not have the opportunity to be army warrant officers because even among warrant officers, there are still categories. If a woman has the qualification and all it takes to be army warrant officer, why not? The army does not discriminate because of gender. Our army is an equal opportunity army, and it does not in any way restrict those in service” (Tell Magazine, 2013).

The bottom line as observed by Augustina Madambo is that the 35 per cent quota the federal government is giving to women in appointive positions should be extended to the military. Applying this quota will encourage the women in the army. Some of those appointed as staff officers, military observers and attaches should be females. No woman has been appointed to those positions since inception of the army. Even at the Army Headquarters, where there are chief clerks, none of them is female. And it is not because women are not capable of holding the positions but discrimination on the basis of gender (Tell Magazine, 2013).
Challenges to the New Security Architecture

Despite the noted achievements on the part of female soldiers, it is apparent why a conservative outfit like the military will cautiously embrace changes that are considered fundamental. Presently, most societies are not ready for the participation of women in combat roles. Even in Israel, the only country where military service is mandatory for females, some combat positions are still closed for women. A number of countries accept females for almost all combat jobs, provided they meet the physical and psychological requirements. Others, like the United States and Britain, admit women to the Air Force and submarines but exclude them from the front lines of ground fighting.

The role of women and limited opportunities available to them in the Armed Forces particularly in several African countries can be largely deduced to the strong patriarchal dominance promoted by traditional, Islamic and Christianity religions in these countries. However, some countries have begun to realize the need for gender inclusiveness in their Armed Forces (Pregulman, 2011).

Some of the obvious difficulties in involving females in combat military training are said to have been: the general reluctance in most Nigerian societies to develop military mindset in females, family disturbances and religious taboos which are more common with the girl child. Although females can be more studious, diligent and better disciplined than their male counterparts; the physiological and hormonal differences between male and female children are said to have been a serious issue in the selection of females for combat military training. Other issues that could arise from the integration of women into fields previously dominated by men include career advancement of female regular officers, professional orientations, career-family trade-offs, buddy relationships in combat and non-combat environment, gender discrimination and role segregation, as well as sexual harassment. Indeed, most Armed Forces do not send females to the front lines because they are unprepared for the killing or mistreatment of women by enemy forces. Some also feel that the presence of women in the infantry and other combat units will affect discipline and unit cohesion and ultimately, operational readiness. There is also the fear of romantic or sexual relationships developing among men and women in the same combat unit (http://sistersinarms.ca/history/women-in-combat-pros-and-cons/).

In order to solve some of these problems, the Nigeria Defence Academy took some proactive steps towards encouraging and sustaining female cadets training in combat. Firstly, the Academy built a dedicated accommodation for female cadets and assembled a sizable trained manpower of female instructors to serve as counsellors and guardians to the female cadets. In order to ensure continuity, the female instructors posted to
the Academy spend not less than 2 years for their tour of duty. Furthermore, deliberate policies were put in place to regulate physical training/exercises in favour of the female cadets so as to encourage participation and ability to endure the 5 years of military training. In the area of discipline; the relationship between male and female cadets in NDA is based on comradeship. Any act of intimacy or amorous dealing between opposite sexes is viewed seriously and meted with severe sanction (C:\Users\user\Documents\NGERIAN ARMY PAPER\Nigerian Army recruits first set of women into regular combatant commission.mht).

These efforts reinforce woman’s proven abilities and the reality that women have accepted the challenges, responsibilities, and dangers of military service, just as the men have. The official recognition of women in combat roles will strengthen the country both morally and militarily and enable more women to advance their careers to the senior ranks and increase the diversity of our military leadership.

Conclusion

Less than a century ago, it was rare to see women in the military, and yet now women fight in combat, command airstrikes, pilot fighter jets, and oversee medical operations. These women have saved lives in the operating room, rescued fellow service members while under enemy attack, set record times in triathlons and races, and come up with innovative ways to treat blast injuries, proving that women have places next to men on the battlefield.

The role of women in the military has received increasing attention in recent years. Extensive professional literature describes how women have served in all the nation’s modern wars. As noted by Martha McSally, “Women are integral members of the armed forces, serving as airmen, soldiers, sailors and Marines,” and “are here to stay.” A pioneer among US Air Force combat pilots, she observed further that women are serving in real ground combat situations every day, and deserve to be fully integrated as “respected warriors” (http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/10/us/history-of-women-in-combat-still-being-written-slowly.html).

As an emerging nation striving to build a strong military in line with 21st century global developments, the policy shift of the NDA programme to include females training as combatants is in consonance with this direction. Nigeria is being placed in the comity of nations that benefit from the considerable potentials that women offer in the military. Inclusiveness will bring stability, efficiency and strength to the military which is good for the country. Enrolling females in combat duties in the army is a right step in the right direction. It is all about how an environment that includes women. It is how to create an environment that appreciates what women can do uniquely and how women are organized to do these things and ensure that they are
promoted, supported, etc. It has much more to do with taking advantage of women's natural advantages.
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