

## **Towards a Renewed Student Internship through Collaborative Design of Curriculum Materials**

**Edward Akomaning**

*Institute for Educational Planning and Administration,  
University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast*

### **Abstract**

*Polytechnics are supposed to place premium on student internship so as to better prepare their products to fit the contemporary labour market. This study examines a renewed student internship programme undertaken through collaborative design of curriculum materials. The Department of Hotel Catering and Institutional Management (HCIM), Takoradi Polytechnic in Ghana is the focus and background for the study. Teachers in the department have designed curriculum materials which, were first used for the 2010 student internship. The general perceptions of stakeholders suggest there have been improvements in internship practices and are generally satisfied with the competencies student have gained.*

**Keywords:** *collaborative design, internship, curriculum materials, higher education*

### **Introduction**

The service encounter nature of hospitality businesses requires that

their success is largely dependent on intern/employee competencies as key determinants of service quality, customer satisfaction, and loyalty (Kusluvan & Kusluvan, 2000). Internship as part of a formal education programme is an educational activity intended for students to acquire such competencies needed to obtain the required qualification at the end of their course. In order to provide quality internship experiences, it is vital that internship stakeholders have comparable perceptions and expectations of the internship experience.

A recent study by Akomaning, Voogt, and Pieters (2011) on the organisation of internship in Ghanaian Polytechnics discloses the following: an episodic polytechnic-industry relationship, lack of job rotation and supervision of interns by teachers and industry-based supervisors as well as the need for improvement in assigned work and student competencies. Other disclosures hinge on students' failure to meet the mandatory internship period of six months, the

need for industry workers to cooperate with interns and the need for curriculum materials to be designed to streamline internships. This study focuses on how these needs are addressed. This study has sought to gravitate from hitherto unstructured internship (Effah, 2005) to structured internship (Wentz & Trapido-Lurie, 2001), which is guided by curriculum materials specifying the distinctive roles of stakeholders.

School-industry relationship has far-reaching impact on internship. The success of an internship depends on the relationship between industry, school and student (Clark, 2003). As indicated by Kliknaite (2009), a close relationship gives rise to joint interest, ambition and purpose towards a shared vision in any well organised internship. The duration for an internship is dependent on its objectives (Busby & Gibson, 2010), nature and arrangements for the industrial training (Divine, Linrud, Miller, & Wilson, 2007). A six-month period for internship agrees with academic institutions around the globe (Mihail, 2006). Again, obtaining an internship can be a difficult and stressful prospect. One philosophy is to allow students to engage in self-search when it comes to securing an internship. The risk involved in this option could be potential student failure to enrol (Ayers, 2007). However, Divine et al.

(2007) argue that self-search option has the advantage of student obtaining firsthand knowledge about the labour market which becomes source of information for future employment. In order to address this need this study adopts collaborative curriculum design (CCD) in design teams as a strategy to collaboratively design curriculum materials to improve internship.

CCD is a bottom-up approach to curriculum improvement where a group of teachers, teaching the same subjects or related subjects, work together on a regular basis with the ultimate aim/goal of (re)designing and implementing (a part of) the common curriculum (Handelzalt, 2009). The underlying assumption for adopting the concept of CCD for this study is that when teachers, who play very crucial roles when it comes to curriculum interpretation and implementation, are involved in curriculum design, they could be in a better position to incorporate materials that are relevant and practical for students, teachers and industry (stakeholders) involved.

Thus, this study examines the implementation and outcomes of the curriculum materials for internship collaboratively designed by the design teams with inputs from students and industry as implemented in the 2010 student internship. The supposition underlying the study

that CCD could lead to quality products culminating in practical use of products, smooth implementation and effective organisation of student internship.

### **Theoretical Underpinnings**

#### *Ensuring Quality Curriculum Materials during Collaborative Design*

The quality of educational programmes in terms of their influence is, according to Kessels and Plomp (1999), to a large extent, determined by “consistency” of the curriculum. The researchers refer to the logic sequence between the various components of the curriculum as internal consistency. Internal consistency is reached through a “systematic approach” (Kessels & Plomp, 1999). It implies the systematic design sequence of orientation, design, development, and evaluation. Denton, Kleist, and Surendra (2005) assert that the quality of curriculum could be addressed by eliminating duplication or overlap of topics.

External consistency, on the other hand, refers to the coherence of perceptions of stakeholders on what the problem is for which an intervention (e.g. CCD in design teams) is needed and how it should be solved. External consistency is reached through a “relational approach”, corresponding to the

communicative paradigm (Kessels & Plomp, 1999). It implies the involvement of stakeholders in the design and development process, thereby revealing their perceptions on the main goals of the process and on how they should be achieved. Curriculum work is multifaceted hence the role or input of identifiable stakeholders in the design process should be given the utmost consideration so as to cater for divergent views and promote the relevance to its subsequent users.

This study is, therefore, premised on the CCD in design teams as a means to realise both internal and external consistency of the curriculum materials because teachers play a significant role in facilitating teaching and learning (Hattie, 2003) as well as being conversant with what goes on in industry by their training and experience (Heath, 2010). The composition of design teams and their ability to elicit suggestions from other stakeholders in the design of curriculum materials for internship cannot be overemphasized if quality work is to be ensured (cf. Kessels & Plomp, 1999). In an effective collaborative design team, there should be the feeling that each other's contribution is required in order to ensure success in their work and new perspectives that colleagues bring on board are not disdained but respected (cf. Little, 1990). The collaboration of teachers in teams increases the chance

that the curriculum materials designed will become relevant (Plomp, 2009) for internship. Teachers in teams learn to use conflict and differences as being productive (Grossman, Wineburg, & Woolworth, 2001).

#### *Practicality of the Curriculum Materials in Renewed Internship*

An effective change strategy must be constructed on a more thorough understanding of the naturally existing internship which operates in authentic learning environments (Blokhuys & Nijhof, 2008). Stakeholders' perceived attitude supposedly account for the success of use of a curriculum (Doyle & Ponder, 1975), which is prompted by how its contents and components are systematically aligned (relevance and consistence) to the requirements of end-users. According to Nieveen (2009), the characteristic of high quality curriculum materials is that end-users (stakeholders) consider them to be usable and largely well-suited with the developers' intentions. In this vein, practical use of the materials by stakeholders during internship would be guaranteed and ultimately implementation would be facilitated and the intended objectives of the renewed internship would be achieved with expected outcomes (Sackney, Mitchell, & Walker, 2005). For instance, a study by Cecil, Fu, and Jones (2010) contend that stakeholders are fairly

satisfied with the curriculum designed to improve student competencies because stakeholders have their roles defined and the material is equally usable. In another study by Ko (2008) in which stakeholders contribute to the hospitality internship curriculum, interns are satisfied with the internships and the curriculum perceived as practical and useful.

#### *Effects and Assessment of Curriculum Materials on Student Competencies*

The quality of curriculum materials should not rest on its relevance and consistency phase but on its effects as a result of implementation. Nieveen (2009) terms the desired outcomes as a result of implementing an intervention (curriculum materials) as *effectiveness*. The main objective of training is to help interns gain knowledge, develop positive attitudes, and apply what they have learned to real life practices (Wilson, Strutton, & Farris, 2002). Internships can therefore nurture student correct work attitude, and cooperation with others (Heppell, 2004). For instance, the student is responsible for adhering to the policies of the industry (Florida Gulf Coast University, 2009). Similarly, inventiveness and human relationship skills are necessary for career success and are also good indicators of employability skills (Robinson, 2006). Internships are meant

prepare students for the workplace by identifying and developing the important competencies that are believed to be needed by employers (Hodges & Burchell, 2003). Training promotes higher levels of technical skills (Carlin & Manson, 2007), which are job specific skills (Wentz & Trapido-Lurie, 2001). The curriculum materials are hence considered effective if the intended objective is realised in interns.

#### *Institutional Support for Renewed Internship*

Formalisation of the relationship between school and industry by a written contract specifying tasks of the internship corresponding to the learning objectives and provision of an appropriate insurance are characteristics that foster successful internship (Youth Forum Jeunesse, 2009). An internship coordinator should be designated to provide both the intern and business with information and also intercede when problems arise (Cook, Parker, & Pettijohn, 2004). Additionally, internship as career related experiences that complement what is learned in the classroom, builds upon the relationship that the educational institution has with employers and is most successful when the student, the department/polytechnic, and the employer all share responsibility in making it a valuable experience (Rothman, 2007).

#### *Resolution of Implementation Challenges*

A renewed student internship seeks to surmount the implementation challenges identified in the context and needs study (Akomaning et al., 2011). Students need timely feedback from both industry-based and academic-based supervisors to perform their operations effectively (Rothman, 2007). This can be achieved through a structured internship, in which students are able to learn skills, improve competencies that increase their future career marketability (Blokhuis & Nijhof, 2008). Articulating the responsibilities of interns and internship supervisors prior to the internship is a key element in a successful internship (Beggs, Ross, & Knapp, 2006). Structured internship seeks to offer students the opportunity for professional growth within an organisation through the completion of challenging and meaningful work (Dixon, Cunningham, Sagas, Turner, & Kent, 2005).

A key component to making an internship programme to stand out is job rotation. It allows interns to rotate among department functions to gain experience in a range of activities (Gillim, 2006). It is important for an intern to be continuously and consistently evaluated from various points of view through periodical evaluations conducted each time the intern rotates into a different section.

Workplaces that make efforts to create positive working environments for interns could serve to increase not only their effective commitment to the organisations but also their long-term commitment to the occupation (Dixon et al., 2005). These authors/researchers contend that interns should be treated with the same respect as any employee as friendliness and helpfulness go a long way in affecting an intern's opinion of an organisation.

### **Context of the Study**

The training of students to managerial or supervisory position in the hospitality industry in Ghana is provided by the Departments of Hotel Catering and Institutional Management (HCIM), in the polytechnics. The focus of this study is the Department of HCIM, at the Takoradi Polytechnic. The HCIM programme in this institution is a three-year tertiary programme which was initiated in 1993. The primary focus of the department is to equip students not only with theoretical knowledge but also with hands-on training so as to improve their employability. To fulfil this mission, internship programme forms an integral component of the HCIM programme.

Throughout the entire 3-year programme, students are supposed to undertake at least six months internship. The placement period is carried out in two sessions. These internship periods are supposed to be supervised by both polytechnic educators and industry representatives. In order to facilitate the internship programmes in the polytechnic, an Industrial Liaison Unit (ILU) headed by Industrial Liaison Officer (ILO) mandated to facilitate internship.

### **Intervention**

A summary of the intervention drawn out in this study is shown in Table 1. To draw out suggestions for the improvement of internship, teachers, students and industry were first informed about the existing problems with internship in Ghana's polytechnics (Akomaning et al., 2011). After that, two teams of the HCIM teachers, eight in each group were formed to collaboratively design curriculum materials for the internship. One team developed resource materials in Food Production and Food and Beverage while the other designed materials for Accommodation and Front Office Operation. The preparation of the curriculum materials as presented in the Table 1, took about eight weeks.

**Table 1: Overview of intervention**

Date/period	Activity	Purpose	Stakeholders involved
March-Sept 2010			
March	Presenting outcomes of context and needs analysis	Inform and accept outcomes, Make contributions for curriculum materials for internship	HOD, ILO, Teachers, Students, Industry representatives
March	Workshop on curriculum design and CCD in design teams	Basic design principles in curriculum design and formation of CCD in design teams	HOD, teachers
March-May	Collaborative design of curriculum materials, taking on board suggestions from industry and students	To prepare curriculum materials to improve internship	CCD in design teams
May	Compilation of documents into draft curriculum materials	Copies made for stakeholders to get further input	Teachers, students, industry representatives
May-June	Final curriculum materials	Distribution to stakeholders as a working document for internship	Teachers, ILO, students, industry
June-August	Students on internship	Industrial training using curriculum materials to improve internship	Students, teachers and industry, ILO

The curriculum materials had the following components: rationale specifying the distinctive roles of teachers, students and industry, content description of the four core areas of the hospitality industry, practical activities the students had to conduct during internship, job rotation and meaningful jobs assigned during internship and student supervision from teachers and industry. Copies of the final document were made and distributed to all stakeholders before the commencement of internship in 50 hotels. During the internship (June-August) the ILO and teachers were expected to supervise the students.

### Research Questions

The main research question framing the study is: *Do the curriculum materials produced by the design teams contribute to renewed student*

*internship according to the stakeholders involved?*

The following sub-questions have been formulated for the study:

1. How do the design teams ensure the quality of the curriculum materials designed for the renewed internship?
2. How do stakeholders perceive the practical use of the curriculum materials?
3. How have stakeholders assessed the competencies students gained from the renewed internship?
4. To what extent are stakeholders satisfied with the institutional support offered to realise the renewed internship?
5. To what extent are the implementation challenges of student internship resolved?

## Method

### Participants

Sixteen out of 22 HCIM teachers participated in two design teams. Six of them had previous experience in curriculum development. Fifty organisations where students were placed for their internship also took part in the study. A total of 165 first ( $N=104$ ) and second ( $N=61$ ) year students took part in the workshops at the start of the intervention. One hundred and forty-three (students available at the time of administering

questionnaire) out of the 165 students participated in the data collection at the end of the intervention. Of the 143, 89 and 54 were first and second year students respectively, and ILO was also part.

### Research Instrumentation

Table 2 presents the overview of research instruments administered. All close-ended statements except otherwise specified had a five-point Likert scale using responses ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5).

**Table 2** Overview of instrument administration

Research questions	Student questionnaire		Teacher questionnaire		Teacher interview	Industry related interview	Researcher's logbook	ILO interview		FGD	Checklist	questionnaire
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post				Pre	Post			
RQ 1			X	X		X	X			X		1 <sup>a</sup>
RQ 2		X		X	X			X			X	X
RQ 3		X		X	X							X
RQ 4		X		X					X			X
RQ 5	X	X		X					X		X	X

*Students' instruments:* A focus group discussion (FGD) with students discussed suggestions for improvement of internship. Two sets of questionnaires were administered to students. The first was administered after the FGDs. It had two parts: the first part was based on year groups. The second part on student self-assessment of their competencies in the four core domains in the hospitality management programme. The questionnaires contained

predominantly close-ended statements and some few open-ended questions. The second questionnaire similar to the first, was administered immediately after internship months later. The open-ended questions focused on year groups, place of first and second interns and duration. Others were sector/industry where student trained. A brief description of competencies acquired in the recent internship. close-ended statements were sim



to the first questionnaire except for employers' satisfaction with job performance and assessment of the curriculum materials.

*Teachers' questionnaires and interview:*

Two sets of questionnaires were administered to teachers. The first was immediately after the workshops in March. The rationale was to elicit background information on teacher experience in curriculum design activities (open-ended questions) and the teachers' collaborative skills to ensure quality design (close-ended statements), which sought to answer research question 1. Research question 2 was dealt with in both teacher questionnaire and interview on the practical use of the curriculum. Research question 3 was addressed by job performance of students. Statements on institutional support sought to answer research question 4. An open-ended question and close-ended statements on student supervision by teachers and industry-based supervisors answered research question 5.

*ILO's interview:* The ILO was interviewed before and after the 2010 internship concerning implementation of internship, supervision, challenges, practical use of the curriculum materials, teacher role, and polytechnic support.

*Industry's interview and questionnaire:*

All the 50 hotel industry visited were given the curriculum materials and questionnaire. Forty-one out of the 50

responded to the questionnaire. Five were interviewed at the start of the study regarding challenges and suggestions for improvement in internship programme. Data from these interviews contribute in answering research question 1. Questionnaire (1st) to industry was mainly close-ended with few open-ended questions on the practical use of the curriculum materials that addresses research question 2. Industry assessment of students' competencies (2<sup>nd</sup> questionnaire from student logbooks) with rating scale of 1 to 5 representing weak and outstanding respectively, and a statement from 1<sup>st</sup> questionnaire on whether employers are satisfied with students' job performance seeks to address research question 3. Formalisation of polytechnic-industry link, polytechnic made prior contacts with industry before students went on internship while the polytechnic looked for places for students to practise are statements that address research question 4 on institutional support for internship. Statements on teacher and industry supervision of students also seek to deal with research question 5.

*Checklist and researcher's logbook:*

The checklist was used to collect data on students' visits by the researcher in the hotel industry. The purpose was to know at first-hand the state of interns and to interact with personnel concerning interns' general

behaviour. Student assigned roles, industry training, working environment, industry supervision, teacher supervision, competencies expected and competencies achieved were the indices being investigated. In all 89 interns were visited in 50 hotels. Researcher's logbook captured the initial arrangements for workshops for ILO, teachers, students and industry representatives and design process of curriculum materials.

### *Data Analysis*

Data were analysed qualitatively and quantitatively. Descriptives were used to determine the means and standard deviations expressed by teachers in design teams on collaborative activities to determine differences between pre- and post-means. Descriptives were also used to determine the perception of use of curriculum materials, industry contribution to internship, industry assessment of students' competencies and evaluation of institutional support by teachers. The *t*-Test used to determine differences between pre and post tests competencies of students whilst Chi-square *was used to determine differences in stakeholders' views on job performance, polytechnic support and supervision*. Data from checklist were analysed by use of percentages.

All interviews were audio taped, transcribed and coded using codes generated from the study. The coding

schemes (Bogdan & Biklen, 1999; Miles & Huberman, 1994) were labelled: *expected roles of stakeholders specified, regular reviews curriculum, teacher role internship, students' commitment internship and polytechnic support design teams*. The version 6.2 Atlas-ti software was used for the coding of all the interview data. Intercoder reliability (Neuendorf, 2002) was calculated using a random sample of 10 interviews from 10 teachers. There were two coders including the researcher. The intercoder reliability using Cohen's kappa ( $k$ ) was 0.85. Information recorded in the logbook and checklist was analysed qualitatively using data reduction technique. Major themes were identified and clustered (Miles & Huberman, 1994).

## **Results**

### *How do Design Teams ensure Quality of Curriculum Materials?*

Essentially, suggestions from students, industry representatives and teachers on improvement in internship are similar. These similarities border on supervision, seriousness of interns, workers cooperating with interns and incentives given to interns to motivate them to give off their best (data from researcher's logbook, student focus group discussion and industry representatives' interview). Additional data from industry suggest that interns should ask question

teachers should visit industry regularly; document should be practically-oriented and focused on customer satisfaction.

Similarly, teachers and students express that testimonial should be given to interns to attest for the training, meaningful and challenging work assigned and interns are to serve in all departments of industry. All these data are factored into the design of the curriculum materials. Design teams share their experiences in current internships that teachers have had in some top-class hotels in Ghana; draft materials are sent to stakeholders for scrutiny; and collated suggestions infused in draft materials for the *final* curriculum materials. The documents are collaboratively prepared with the view to satisfy stakeholders and to be of relevance to industry where students have their internship. They ensured that the curriculum materials cover the four core domains of the HCIM programme (Food Production,

Food and Beverage Service, Front Office and Accommodation Operations). The commonality of the terms suggests the consistency with which stakeholders would want the curriculum materials to serve the interest of all.

Table 3 takes cognisance of the before- (before joining design teams) and after- (after internship) teachers' perceptions about their work in teams. Teachers collaborated in their teams for about eight weeks to ensure the quality of curriculum materials designed. The before-means (between 3.7 and 4.4) and after-means (between 4.2 and 4.7) with standard deviations in the magnitude of 0.48 and 0.87 of teachers' collaborative efforts as exemplified in quality teamwork, understanding, turning conflicts to productive situations, feeling of ownership and participative decision-making techniques in team were very positive in the design process.

**Table 3: Collaborative efforts of teachers in design teams**

Statement	Before (N=16)		After (N=16)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
I do quality work in team	4.4	0.63	4.3	0.68
Better organised work in team	4.2	0.66	4.2	0.75
Content of curriculum is accepted by consensus	4.0	0.82	4.3	0.58
Growing understanding of influence of curriculum on internship	4.3	0.79	4.4	0.51
Knowing how to keep conflict towards productive situation in team	3.7	0.79	4.2	0.54
Feeling of being part of what goes on in team	4.3	0.87	4.7	0.48
Knowing how to employ participative decision making techniques in team	4.1	0.57	4.3	0.60

Note: rating scale: 1, strongly disagree; 2, disagree; 3, neutral; 4, agree; 5, strongly agree; SD, standard deviation (applies to Tables 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11).

areas in the hospitality industry which could be partly due to the use of the designed curriculum materials. These competencies are self-assessed as follows.

#### *Food Production*

Four items couched under *food preparation methods* are: ability to cook for required number of guests, familiar with the use of kitchen tools and equipment, ability to prepare dishes using a variety of commodities

and familiar with all methods cooking. Whilst *food preparation* consists of the ability to prepare, cook and present Ghanaian ethnic and international dishes, ability to use various methods of preservation, ability to identify the procedure to follow once an order has been received as presented in Table 4. Differences between the pre-test and post-test means were all significant. It is noteworthy that the effect sizes range from small to large (.39 to .80).

**Table 4** Students' competencies in food production

HND	N	Competency	Pre-test		Post-test		Sig.	T	Effect Si.
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
1	104	Food preparation methods	3.8	0.63	4.0	0.56	0.008*	-2.681	0.39
1	89	Food preparation methods	3.4	0.66	3.8	0.55	0.0005*	-5.546	0.80
2	61	Food preparation methods	3.8	0.76	4.2	0.53	0.0005*	-3.704	0.50
2	54	Food preparation methods	3.6	0.53	3.9	0.60	0.003*	-3.013	0.69

Note: \* $p < 0.05$

#### *Food and Beverage Service*

Competencies of students in Food and Beverage Service are shown in Table 5. The competencies are phrased under *skills in restaurant service* and *customer care*. The former consists of ability to wait on customers, co-operation with and assistance to others, ability to serve food and drink well, acquisition of skills to promote customer satisfaction and ability to use the right equipment in restaurant service. The latter captures competencies like

designing and producing promotional material, including point of sale advertisements for special events, ability to resolve guests' complaints, the use, cleaning and maintenance of equipment in a safe professional manner and ability to lay tables for different functions at the restaurant. There have been significant differences between before and after means for *skills in restaurant service* and *customer care* as shown in the table below. Effect sizes range from small to medium (.38 to .76).

**Table 5 Students' competencies in food and beverage service**

D	N	Competency	Pre-test		Post-test		Sig.	T	Effect Size
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
1	104	Skills in restaurant service	3.7	0.65	4.2	0.53	0.0005*	-5.143	0.74
1	89	Customer care	3.2	0.67	3.7	0.61	0.0005*	-5.242	0.76
2	61	Skills in restaurant service	4.0	0.47	4.2	0.54	0.041*	-2.063	0.38
2	54	Customer care	3.5	0.592	3.9	0.54	0.0005*	-3.634	0.71

Note: \* $p < 0.05$

**Front Office Operation**

Competencies in Front Office operation are couched as *skills in front office* and *front office records* (Table 6). The former comprises competencies like identification of types of reservations in hospitality industry, familiarity with scope of the lodging/hotel industry, identification of different types of accommodation facilities, functions of the front office and its personnel and understanding of the accounting system at the front

office. The latter is composed of ability to identify different room types and abbreviations used, understanding the grading system used in rating hotels in Ghana, ability to handle guest enquiries, reservation records forecasting and knowing the check-out procedures and settlement. The study shows significant differences between pre-test and post-test means. Effect sizes range from small to medium (.48 to .63).

**Table 6 Students' competencies in front office operation**

HND	N	Competency	Pre-test		Post-test		T	Sig.	Effect Size
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
1	104	Skills in front office	3.5	0.67	3.9	0.62	-4.381	0.0005*	0.63
1	89	Front office records	3.6	0.72	4.0	0.63	-4.154	0.0005*	0.60
2	61	Skills in front office	3.7	0.67	4.0	0.54	-2.740	0.007*	0.48
2	54	Front office records	3.9	0.66	4.3	0.51	-3.346	0.001*	0.59

Note: \* $p < 0.05$ .

### Accommodation Operation

The study findings on Accommodation Operation as presented in Table 7, depicts the competencies of students in accommodation operation. Competencies like cleaning of materials using correct agent and equipment, caring for and cleaning of different floors types, cleaning and caring for bathroom/toilet and ceilings and wall coverings and application of hotel and catering laws are expressed as *skills in housekeeping*. Whilst familiar with the

housekeeping department, its organisation and its relationship departments, familiar with different types of materials used through room interiors and understanding use of various cleaning agent and equipment are phrased *housekeeping operations*. The evidence shows significant differences between pre-test and post-test means for both first and second year students. The effect sizes range from medium to large effect (.63 to .99).

**Table 7 Students' competencies in accommodation operation**

HND	N	Competency	Pre-test		Post-test		T	Sig	Effect Size
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
1	104	Skills in housekeeping	3.6	0.64	4.1	0.58	-5.463	0.0005*	0.79
1	89	Housekeeping operations	3.6	0.72	4.2	0.55	-6.784	0.0005*	0.99
2	61	Skills in housekeeping	3.6	0.84	4.0	0.60	-3.616	0.0005*	0.63
2	54	Housekeeping operations	3.6	0.63	4.2	0.58	-4.610	0.0005*	0.84

Note: \* $p < 0.05$

### Industry Perspective

Table 8 illustrates the assessment of students by industry personnel (data from student logbooks which includes assessment). Students' ability to understand issues, ability to use hands, ability to give judgment are couched under *specific skills* whereas attendance to work, punctuality, desire to work and willingness to accept new ideas and suggestions are phrased as *attitude to work*. *Human relationship* consists of relationship with subordinates, colleagues, superiors and emotional stability. *Inventiveness* and *adherence*

are expressed under *Employability skills*. Furthermore, resourcefulness, ability to take initiative, work with little supervision, to work with other staff and to adhere to safety and environmental rules make up the constituents of *inventiveness* while ability to follow instructions carefully, adherence to organisational rules and regulations and ability to complete work on schedule constitute *adherence*. Industry personnel appear to score high means on the constructs (4.1 to 4.4) implying students' competencies are above the rating mark of good.

Table 8: Industry assessment of students' competencies (N=50)

Competency	Mean	Std. Dev.
Specific skills (technical skills)	4.1	0.50
Attitude to work	4.4	0.44
Human relationship	4.4	0.44
Employable: Inventiveness	4.2	0.48
Employable: Adherence	4.4	0.51

Note: rating scale: 1, weak; 2, below average; 3, average; 4, good; 5, outstanding

Teacher, student and industry

perspectives

The teachers (13 out of 16) interviewed indicate that students are committed, a new emerging construct, to internship. From teacher, student and industry data, stakeholders have expressed their

satisfaction to the job performance of students (Table 9). However, evidence from industry personnel (mean=3.8) shows more positive outcome than that of the teachers (mean=3.5). This shows significant difference between teachers/industry and students ( $X^2=30.34, p=0.0005$ ).

Table 9 Assessment of students

Statement	Students (N=143)		Teachers (N=16)		Industry (N=41)		X <sup>2</sup>	Sig.
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Employers' satisfaction with students' job performance	4.4	0.62	3.5	0.82	3.8	0.90	30.34	0.0005*

Note \*p<0.05.

Generally, students have improved on their competencies in all the four core areas of the hospitality industry where they served during internship. Stakeholders also appear satisfied with students' competencies gained during the internship. Industry assessment of students is very satisfactory and connotes students' gradual smooth transition to the world of work. The improvements in competencies of students could be partly due to the use of the designed curriculum materials.

**Institutional Support**

Table 10 depicts polytechnic support for internship. Concerning the polytechnic-industry formalisation of relationship, polytechnic's prior contacts with industry and polytechnic looks for industries for students to practise, students' means are between 2.3 and 3.2 whilst industry ranges between 2.5 and 3.1 but teachers' mean scores range between 3.3 and 4.4. The figures imply that teachers are satisfied with the polytechnic's support of

internship but not students and industry. The teachers' relatively high means could be that aside from the regular mandated internship organised by the polytechnic, the Department of HCIM has come out with another internship where students during the academic semester practise in the hospitality industry in and around Takoradi where the polytechnic writes officially to the industry requesting for permission for students to practise in their organisations. The ILO in an interview did indicate that the

relationship between the polytechnic and industry has been formalised. This also indicates the polytechnic maintains contacts with industries oftentimes prompted by industry personnel who had interest in students taking an internship in their establishments. The usual practice was that students went looking for placements against this backdrop that students sometimes delay in starting an internship. The polytechnic cannot be blamed when students' attempts to find placements completely failed.

**Table 10 Assessment of polytechnic support**

Statement	Students (N=143)		Teachers (N=16)		Industry (N=41)		$\chi^2$	Sig.
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Formal agreement between polytechnic and industries.	3.2	1.32	4.2	0.91	2.6	1.12	17.96	0.001
Polytechnic makes prior contacts with industries before students go for internship.	2.9	1.53	4.4	0.81	3.1	1.42	16.84	0.001
Polytechnic looks for industries for students to practise.	2.3	1.32	3.3	1.34	2.5	1.23	10.07	0.001

Note: \* $p < 0.05$ .

It can be said that the polytechnic has shown some level of commitment towards internship. The evaluation of the polytechnic support for internship by teachers suggests means in the magnitude of 3.6 and 3.8 with standard deviations ranging from 0.75 to 0.93. Takoradi Polytechnic has improved on its activities with internship however adequate resources need to be committed to teacher supervision since it is a way

of ensuring that students are at placements and are moreover carrying out their duties as expected. In an interview with teachers, they collectively acknowledge that in the preparation of the curriculum materials for internship, the polytechnic provided teachers time, space and relevant documents. They are, therefore, quite grateful for the utilised institutional resources by the design teams.



## Evolution of Implementation Challenges

### Views of Stakeholders on Supervision

Table 11 presents supervision of interns from the views of students, industry and teachers. The means and standard deviations are in the magnitudes of 3.0 and 4.6; and 0.50 and 1.33 respectively implying that stakeholders are generally quite satisfied with student supervision. Of 41 students visited by the researcher

during the internship about 90% of them had been supervised once by teachers (researcher's checklist). An interview with the ILO revealed that in order to ensure effective supervision by teachers, the polytechnic is giving a serious thought on introducing a checklist as a mechanism for regulating teacher supervision team in the near future. He indicates that not all but some selected teachers are mandated to supervise internship because of budget constraint.

**Table 11 Views of stakeholders on supervision in student internship**

Statement	Students (N=143)		Teachers (N=16)		Industry (N=41)		$\chi^2$	Sig.
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Teachers supervise students' work	4.4	0.86	4.6	0.50	3.7	1.12	25.49	0.0005*
Enough teacher supervision	3.6	0.98	3.8	1.23	3.0	1.33	12.61	0.002*
Better supervision by industry supervisor	4.0	0.83	3.8	1.12	4.4	0.86	10.19	0.006*

\* $p < 0.05$ .

### Students' Assessment of Industry Contribution

Contrary to the report made by students in the context and needs assessment regarding industry personnel unfriendly relationship and unwillingness to teach students (Comaning et al., 2011), in this study the means are between 4.2 and 4.6 with standard deviations in the magnitude of 0.49 and 0.71. This suggests that students are homogeneous in their expression of contentment with assistance, willingness of industry staff to teach and collaboration with industrial workers.

In response to the question of sections where students served, the researcher realises that of 89 interns visited, majority (88%) of them served in at least three sections in the hotel industry and were assigned meaningful and challenging work. In the previous study students complained about serving in only one section in the entire internship period.

### Duration and Participation

The context and needs study reveals that about 50% of the students spent four weeks out of a three-month mandatory internship period by the

polytechnic (Akomaning et al., 2011). In this study evidence from student questionnaire data shows majority (73%) of the students spent between eight and ten weeks whilst 16 percent could fulfil a three-month mandatory period. Moreover, all the students had placements for internship. In the context and needs study about 20 percent of the students could not go on internship due to limited number of placements. With regard to duration and placement, it appears there have been some improvements which could be due to the gradual improvement in the relationship between the polytechnic and industry as interview with ILO connotes.

### **Conclusion and Discussion**

This article has looked at renewed student internship in the Department of HCIM, the Takoradi Polytechnic in Ghana. This study has focused on collaborative design of curriculum materials by teacher teams, with input made by students and industry representatives, which were used during internship to address the implementation challenges. The teachers, by their training and experience, in the design process of the curriculum materials ensured quality in terms of consistency, practicality and effectiveness. In other words, the contents of materials are said to be relevant and coherent and accepted by all stakeholders for the 2010 internship. Teachers,

students and industry concurred with the usefulness of the curriculum materials in the training of students. The subsequent outcomes of the self-assessed competencies of students in industry-based assessment were found to be commendable. Stakeholders should acknowledge the curriculum materials serve as a guide to the training, providing prior knowledge to both industry and students. The roles are expected of the Internship practices have improved in the areas of supervision, cooperating with interns, job assignment and work assigned to interns. Significant improvements, include duration for internship placement and participation of interns could however be traced to improvement in the polytechnic industry link/relationship.

The collaboration among teachers with input from students and industry in the design of the curriculum materials and the support of the polytechnic has had positive effect on the organisation of internship culminating in improved internship practices and student competencies. This study is comparable to that of Cecil et al. (2010) who contend that stakeholders are fairly satisfied with the curriculum designed to improve student competencies because stakeholders have their roles defined and the material is equally useful. Another study by Ko (2008) in

stakeholders' contribution to the hospitality internship curriculum. The rise to interns' satisfaction with the internships and the curriculum perceived as practical and useful is in consonance with this study. This research shows that teachers are also satisfied with the polytechnic making prior contacts with industry before students are sent out for internship but students hold a contrary view. Notably, the role of a teacher in the design and use of a curriculum material regardless of where it is applied cannot be overemphasised. If it will cost the polytechnic so much funds it cannot bear, it can device other alternative ways of ensuring a form of monitoring without necessarily visiting industry.

Generally, there has been improvement on the part of all stakeholders. *Supervision of students by teachers during internship is very critical to ensuring that quality is injected into the industrial training of students. Takoradi Polytechnic should continue with its current practice regarding teachers' supervision but could increase the number of teachers and empower the Department of Quality Assurance to intensify its monitoring role during internship. The introduction of a checklist for supervising teachers will enhance supervision mechanism, which will further deepen and enrich the relationship between the polytechnics and industry.*

## References

- Akomaning, E., Voogt, J.M., & Pieters, J.M. (2011). Internship in vocational education and training: stakeholders' perceptions of its organisation. *Journal of Vocational and Training, 63*(4), 575–592.
- Ayers, K. (2007). A strategy for internship-seeking sport management students. *The SMART Journal 4*(1), 84–90.
- Beggs, B.A., Ross, C.M., & Knapp, J.S. (2006). Internships in leisure services: An analysis of student and practitioner perceptions and expectations. *Scholar, 21*, 1–20.
- Bogdan, R., & Biklen, S.K. (1992). *Qualitative research for education*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Blokhuis, F.T.L., & Nijhof, W.J. (2008). Evidence-based design of workplace learning. In W.J. Nijhof & L.F.M. Nieuwenhuis (Eds.), *The learning potential of the workplace* (pp. 163–180). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Busby, G.D., & Gibson, P. (2010). Tourism and hospitality internship experiences overseas: British perspective. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education, 9*(1), 4–12.
- Carlin, A., & Manson, D. (2007). My school, my teacher: a polytechnic approach to information assurance. *Communication of the IIMA* (7)3, 65–78.

- Cecil, A.K., Fu, Y., & Jones, E. (2010). Monitoring Student Success: A systemic model for hospitality and tourism education. *International CHRIE Conference-Refereed Track*. Paper 10.
- Clark, S.C. (2003). Enhancing the educational value of business internships. *Journal of Management Education* 27(4), 472-484.
- Cook, S.J., Parker, R.S., & Pettijohn, C.E. (2004). The perceptions of interns: A longitudinal case study. *Journal of Education for Business*, 79(3), 179-185.
- Denton, J.W., Kleist, V.F., & Surendra, N. (2005). Curriculum and Course Design: A new Approach using Quality Function Deployment. *Journal of Education for Business*, 111-117.
- Divine, R., Linrud J., Miller, R., & Wilson, J.H. (2007). Required internships in marketing: benefits, challenges and determinants of fit. *Marketing Education Review* 17(2), 45-52.
- Dixon, M.A., Cunningham, G.B., Sagas, M., Turner, B.A., & Kent, A. (2005). Challenge is key: An investigation of affective organizational commitment in undergraduate interns. *Journal of Education for Business*, 80(3), 172-180.
- Doyle W., & Ponder G.A., (197). The practicality ethic in teacher decision-making. *Interchange* 8(3), 1-12.
- Effah, P. (2005). A decade of polytechnic education in Ghana: An assessment of achievements and failures. *Proceedings of the first Sunyani Polytechnic lecture series* 1, 17-29.
- Florida Gulf Coast University (2009). *Florida Gulf Coast University Resort & Hospitality Management Student Internship Manual*, Florida.
- Gillim, M. (2006). Putting the pieces together: Effective characteristics of an HR internship program. *Senior Honors Theses*, Paper 60. Eastern Michigan University.
- Grossman, P., Wineburg, S., & Woolworth, S. (2001). *Toward a theory of teacher community*. *Teachers College Record*, 103(6), 942-1012.
- Handelzalts, A. (2009). *Collaborative curriculum development in teacher design teams*. Doctoral dissertation. Enschede: University of Twente.
- Hattie, J. (2003). Teachers make a difference: What is the research evidence? *Australian Council for Education Research*, 1-17.
- Heath, R.R. (2010). Ensuring preservice teachers' readiness to teacher standard-based curricula. *North Carolina*

- Middle School Association Journal*, 2(25), 1–9.
- Appell, M. (2004). *How to be Brilliant*. London, England: Pearson-Prentice Hall.
- Bodges, D., & Burchell, N. (2003). Business graduate Competencies: Employers' Views on Importance and Performance. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Cooperative Education*, 4(2), 16–22.
- Bessels, J., & Plomp, T. (1999). A systematic and relational approach to obtaining curriculum consistency in corporate education, *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 31(6), 679–709.
- Chiknaite, S. (2009). *How symbiotic industry-university collaboration contributes to the knowledge economy*. Paper presented at the Summer Conference 2009, CBS, Denmark, June 17.
- Choi, W. (2008). Training, satisfaction with internship programs, and confidence about future careers among hospitality students. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 7(4), 1–15.
- Çakırlıoğlu, S., & Kusluvan, Z. (2000). Perceptions and attitudes of undergraduate tourism students towards working in the tourism industry in Turkey. *Tourism Management*, 21, 251–269.
- Little, J.W. (1990). The persistence of privacy: autonomy and initiative in teachers' professional relations. *Teachers college record*, 91(4), 509–536.
- Mihail, D.M. (2006). Internship at Greek Universities: An exploratory study. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 18, 28–41.
- Miles, M.B., & Huberman, A.M. (1994). *An expanded source book, qualitative data analysis* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). London: Sage Publications.
- Neuendorf, K.A. (2002). *The content analysis guidebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Nieveen, N. (2009). Formative evaluation in educational design research. In T. Plomp, & N. Nieveen (Eds.), *In An introduction to educational design research*. (pp. 89–101). Enschede: Axis Media-ontwerpers.
- Plomp, T. (2009). Educational design research: An introduction. In T. Plomp, & N. Nieveen (Eds.), *In An introduction to educational design research*. (pp. 9–35). Enschede: Axis Media-ontwerpers.
- Robinson, J.S. (2006). *Graduates' and employers' perceptions of entry-level employability skills needed by Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources graduates*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, Columbia.

- Rothman, M. (2007). Lessons Learned: Advice to employers from interns. *Journal of Education for business*, 82(3), 140-144.
- Sackney, L., Mitchell, C., & Walker, K. (2005). *Building capacity for learning communities: A case study of fifteen successful schools*. Paper presented at the American Education Research Association annual meeting.
- Wentz, E.A., & Trapido-Lurie, B. (2001). Structured college internships in geographic education. *Journal of Geography*, 100(4), 140-144.
- Wilson, P.H., Strutton, D., & Fairclough, M.T. (2002). Investigating the perceptual aspect of sales training. *The Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management*, 22(2), 77-111.
- Youth Forum Jeunesse (2009). *Opinion paper on internships*. Adopted by the Council Members/Extraordinary General Assembly: Brussels, Belgium, 8-9 May 2009.